Case 1:15-cr-00867-RMB Document 420 Filed 01/12/18 Page 1 of 163

HCBPATI1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 S4 15 Cr. 867 RMB V. 5 MEHMET HAKAN ATILLA, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 9 December 11, 2017 9:25 a.m. 10 11 12 Before: 13 HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN, District Judge 14 and a jury 15 16 17 **APPEARANCES** 18 JOON H. KIM, United States Attorney for the 19 Southern District of New York MICHAEL DENNIS LOCKARD, 20 SIDHARDHA KAMARAJU, DAVID WILLIAM DENTON, JR., 21 DEAN CONSTANTINE SOVOLOS, Assistant United States Attorneys 22 23 24 25

HCBPATI1 Trial 1 2 (APPEARANCES Continued) 3 4 HERRICK, FEINSTEIN LLP (NYC) Attorneys for defendant Atilla 5 BY: VICTOR J. ROCCO, Esq. 6 THOMAS ELLIOTT THORNHILL, Esq. - and -7 FLEMING RUVOLDT, PLLC BY: CATHY ANN FLEMING, Esq. 8 ROBERT J. FETTWEIS, Esq. - and -9 LAW OFFICES OF JOSHUA L. DRATEL, P.C. BY: JOSHUA LEWIS DRATEL, Esq. 10 Of counsel 11 12 Also Present: JENNIFER McREYNOLDS, Special Agent FBI 13 MICHAEL CHANG-FRIEDEN, Paralegal Specialist USAO MS. ASIYE KAY, Turkish Interpreter 14 MS. SEYHAN SIRTALAN, Turkish Interpreter 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(Trial resumed. In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: So we have almost all the jurors, missing one or two, but this is a preliminary legal matter that I wanted to discuss with you. It's a response to the letters back and forth, dated December 10, first from the government objecting to some of the cross-examination by Mr. Rocco and then Mr. Rocco's letter in response.

So the ruling is as follows. As I say, I have reviewed the government and defense letters dated December 10 regarding Mr. Cohen's cross-examination, and while I still need to review these letters against the transcripts of the examination, which I've started doing but have not finished, I do have some preliminary responses and concerns with the cross-examination.

No. 1, the Court, as you know, has the principal responsibility of determining and instructing the jury with respect to the applicable law. The jury has been so advised, as you also know, preliminarily and the Court's views of the sanctions laws applicable to this case is clearly stated in two rulings, one dated October 17, 2017, with respect to Mr. Zarrab's motion to dismiss, and the other dated November 16, 2017, issued in response to Mr. Atilla's motion to dismiss.

Point two, the jury takes the law as provided by the Court and is not the arbiter of any counsel's disagreement with

the Court as to either, in this case, the law of conspiracy and/or the laws relating to sanctions against Iran or any other legal matter in that regard.

No. 3, my preliminary reaction is that the cross of Mr. Cohen exceeded the Court's, I think it was, December 6th, 2017, ruling as to the scope of cross of Mr. Cohen and also potentially of Mr. Szubin, and I think it may tend to confuse the jury as to the jury's role in this matter and, also, as to the applicable law that applies in this case. You will recall I so advised defense counsel of this concern at the sidebar on December 8 and am reviewing the transcripts in this regard.

No. 4, defense counsel is hereby placed on notice that while it will not do so now, the Court will likely sustain objections to the cross and give an instruction to the jury as to applicable legal principles along the lines of the instruction proposed by the government in its December 10 letter if the defense proceeds along its current path with respect to Mr. Cohen or Mr. Szubin or other witnesses that the government may call.

No. 5, I would like to review also the exhibit, I think it's 2010. It was a defense exhibit, and if you have a copy, I'd like you to hand it up. As I recall, it's a detailed letter or e-mail sent by Mr. Atilla to the U.S. government regarding sanctions. And I would also like to review any written information or materials that the government may have

been referring to in the government's letter, I think also of December 6th. I may be off a day or two. And that is to say, the government's letter with responsive information it may have received regarding the sanctions law or laws.

And, finally, in response to an issue raised by the defense, there will be no continuance of this trial, as suggested by defense counsel or requested, perhaps, is a better way to describe it.

So that's it on that issue. I'll be back to you after I've finished reviewing the transcript in this regard. So if you have exhibit, I think is it, 2010? Oh, and the government, we'd like your response, to know whether there are any such written materials in connection with that letter.

MR. LOCKARD: I can respond to that question now.

There are -- the letter describes conversations and not written materials.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you, in discovery, turned over -- this was an issue that's been discussed before -- any other government testimony or any other materials pertinent to the concerns expressed by the defense?

MR. LOCKARD: So what we received from the Department of Treasury and what we produced in discovery were documents and communications relating to communications with Halkbank or with Mr. Atilla by the Treasury Department during the time period of the charged offense, and so that's what we received

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that's what we produced, including the prior --

THE COURT: And what?

MR. LOCKARD: Including any prior statements of the witnesses in e-mails about their discussions with the bank.

THE COURT: All right. And --

MR. ROCCO: Your --

THE COURT: Well, go ahead.

MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, I was just going to say there was a request that we went directly to Treasury for, and we're waiting for a response to that and promised that they would have something to us today.

THE COURT: Okay. You mentioned something like that in the materials.

MR. ROCCO: We did, your Honor.

THE COURT: So the next question is, who's the next witness, and if that person, I had some understanding, might have difficulty getting to court today, if we had somebody else we could start with, even if it's somewhat out of turn?

MR. LOCKARD: So our travel problems have not materialized.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LOCKARD: So we are ready to proceed with Mr. Joshua Kirschenbaum, who is our witness today. We will expect Mr. Cohen will return. He was scheduled to be here right after the lunch break. We haven't yet determined whether

he's going to have travel issues, but we're prepared to proceed 1 continuously whether he gets here or not. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. I'll advise you when we have our 4 full complement of jurors. 5 So the exhibit may be Exhibit 201 instead of 2010. Did I misidentify it? 6 7 MR. ROCCO: It's apparently not 201 either; so I'm going to take a couple of minutes to look for it. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Great. 10 (Pause) 11 MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, I think we've identified the 12 document. It's Government Exhibit 7011; so if you like.... 13 THE COURT: Yes, I'll take a look. I'll know it when 14 I see it. I don't know where I got the number. 15 (Pause) So we have our jury and if we could call the next 16 17 witness. 18 (Jury present) THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Nice to see you. 19 20 So please be seated, and we will call the next government 21 witness. 22 MR. DENTON: Your Honor, the United States calls 23 Joshua Kirschenbaum.

who was here on Friday, is going to come back sometime during

THE COURT: And by the way, the witness, Mr. Cohen,

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the week for some additional questioning. It wasn't finished, and he had to go back to DC. So he's going to be back today or tomorrow. I'm not sure.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, if you could step up to the stand, please remain standing for a moment, and then raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony that you shall give this Court and jury in this issue now on trial shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Could you please state your full name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Joshua Avi Kirschenbaum.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Could you spell your last name, please.

THE WITNESS: Kirschenbaum, K-i-r-s-c-h-e-n-b-a-u-m.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.

Feel free to pull up the chair and adjust the microphone.

MR. DENTON: May I proceed, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

JOSHUA KIRSCHENBAUM,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. DENTON:
- Morning, Mr. Kirschenbaum. 2 Q.
- 3 Morning. Α.
- 4 Where do you work? Q.
- 5 I work at the Treasury Department in an office called
- FinCEN, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. 6
- What is the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network? 7
- It is a money laundering regulator, and also, it functions 8
- 9 as the Financial Intelligence Unit for the United States,
- 10 meaning it collects financial reporting from financial
- 11 institutions such as banks, including suspicious activity
- 12 reports.
- 13 What is your position at FinCEN?
- 14 I'm the acting director of an office at FinCEN called the
- Office of Special Measures. That office is located within the 15
- enforcement division of FinCEN. My office, although its an 16
- 17 enforcement division, does not do domestic money laundering
- enforcement. It conducts section 311 investigations, which 18
- refers to a provision of the Patriot Act related to targeting 19
- 20 foreign financial institutions for money laundering activity.
- 21 When did you start work at FinCEN? Q.
- 22 Α. I began to work in FinCEN in July of 2015.
- 23 Where did you work before then? 0.
- 24 Prior to that, I worked at a different office in Treasury Α.
- 25 called OFAC, which stands for the Office of Foreign Assets

- Control. 1
- And how long did you work there? 2 Q.
- 3 Approximately four years, beginning around June of 2011. Α.
- And what was your position at OFAC? 4 Q.
- 5 My most recent position at OFAC, prior to my departure to
- FinCEN, was a policy advisor in the policy and regulatory 6
- 7 affairs division.
- And had you had other jobs at OFAC before that? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 What were those jobs? Q.
- 11 My one job prior to working as a policy advisor was as a
- 12 sanctions investigator in the Office of Global Targeting at
- 13 OFAC.
- Q. As part of your work as a policy advisor at OFAC, did you 14
- ever have occasion to communicate with foreign financial 15
- institutions about U.S. sanctions laws? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And generally speaking, what form did those communications
- 19 take?
- 20 A. They could be by telephone, by e-mail or writing, such as
- 21 letter, or they could also be in-person meetings, either with
- 22 bank personnel in Washington or on trips to foreign countries
- at their offices overseas. 23
- 24 Generally speaking, what was the purpose of communicating
- 25 directly with foreign financial institutions about U.S.

8

sanctions laws?

- Generally, when we communicate with foreign financial 2
- 3 institutions about U.S. sanctions laws it would be to explain
- 4 what the rules were, and to advise them as to proper compliance
- 5 with those sanctions rules.
- 6 When you talk about rules, are you talking about sanctions
- 7 regulations?
 - Sanctions laws, executive orders and regulations, yes.
- 9 Are you familiar with a Turkish bank named Halkbank?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 So I'd like to direct your attention to October 29th, 2013.
- 12 Did you participate in any communications with Halkbank on that
- 13 day?
- 14 A. I believe I participated in a phone call with bank
- personnel, the phone call from Washington with bank personnel 15
- 16 that were located in Turkey.
- 17 Were you the only person from OFAC involved in that call?
- 18 Α. No.
- Who else was involved that you can remember? 19
- 20 I believe that the call was led by the director of OFAC at
- 21 the time, who was named Adam Szubin, and I participated as a
- 22 note-taker primarily, and there would have been several others
- 23 on the call, though I can't remember an exhaustive list.
- 24 MR. DENTON: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 25 THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. Showing you what's been marked for identification as

 Government Exhibit 7021, just take a look at that for a moment,

 please.
 - (Pause)
- 5 Mr. Kirschenbaum, do you recognize Government
- 6 | Exhibit 7021?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

- Q. What is it?
- 9 A. This is a memo or what I call a read-out, meaning a
 10 summary, of the October 29th phone call between Adam Szubin and
 11 the deputy general manager of Halkbank, Mehmet Atilla.
- 12 | THE COURT: Sorry, and who?
- A. This is my summary memo or read-out of the phone call
 between OFAC Director Szubin and Deputy General Manager Atilla
 of Halkbank.
- Q. You just described this as your summary memo. Did you prepare Government Exhibit 7021?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. Was it a regular part of OFAC's work to memorialize meetings or calls in a summary or read-out?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. So let's talk for a moment about the process of that regular practice. Who actually drafts the text of a read-out
- 24 of a call or a meeting?
- 25 A. In a situation such as this, where you have the most senior

- person at the agency leading the call, it would usually be a subordinate, such as myself, or the policy advisor or someone at what we would call the working level, who would take notes
- 5 Q. Is it someone who actually participated in the meeting or 6 call?

and then draft the memo summarizing the relevant information.

- 7 A. Yes, you would need to have participated.
- Q. So is it fair to say that a read-out or summary is prepared by someone with personal knowledge of what it describes?
- 10 | A. Yes.

- 11 Q. How long after a meeting or call is a read-out typically prepared?
- 13 A. Typically, as quickly as possible so that memory is fresh.
- Q. And in this case, how long after your call with the defendant was this read-out prepared?
- A. This is dated the next day, and that's in keeping with my memory that it was written very quickly after.
- Q. Is it important that the contents of a meeting or call summary be accurate?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 \square Q. Why is that?
- A. For several reasons; so that we can inform more senior

 decision makers and make available to them the necessary

 information to make policy decisions, and also, in case the

 information ever needs to be relied upon in any kind of action

- the agency may take, we need to have accurate information in 1 2 the read-out.
- 3 Q. So does Treasury maintain copies of these read-outs for use
- in its future business? 4
- 5 A. Yes. We retain memos like this in our -- we, Treasury
- Department personnel, would typically retain the memo in the 6
- 7 file after its centered or disseminated.
- Generally speaking, what was the topic of this October 29th 8
- 9 call with Atilla?
- 10 The topic of this phone call was several issues related to
- 11 Iran sanctions and primarily the status of Iranian oil funds,
- 12 funds derived from the proceeds of sale of Iranian oil to
- 13 Turkey held in accounts at Halkbank.
- 14 Q. And does this read-out reflect statements that the
- 15 defendant made about those subjects?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. DENTON: Your Honor, the government offers
- Government Exhibit 7021. 18
- THE COURT: I'll allow it. 19
- 20 MR. ROCCO: No objection, your Honor.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 7021 received in evidence)
- 22 MR. DENTON: If we could publish that starting at page
- 23 2.

- 24 BY MR. DENTON:
 - So starting with the first paragraph below the title, can

- you read, Mr. Kirschenbaum, what the summary states about what 1 the topics were discussed on this call? 2
- 3 Do you want me to read that verbatim or --Α.
 - Yes, please. Q.

4

- "OFAC director Adam Szubin" --Α.
- 6 THE COURT: Slowly.
- 7 "OFAC Director, Adam Szubin, spoke on October 29th, 2013, with Mehmet Hakan Atilla, the deputy general manager of 8 9 Halkbank, about Turkish gold sales to Iran, the purchase of
- 10 Turkish securities by Iran, and Halk's ongoing facilitation of sales of humanitarian goods to Iran." 11
- 12 Q. Did all three of those subjects implicate U.S. sanctions 13 laws, orders or regulations?
- 14 Objection, your Honor. MR. ROCCO:
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled. If you know.
- 16 Yes, they did. Α.
- 17 So let's go through those as they're described in the 18 read-out. Can you read for us the portion of the read-out describing the discussion with respect to gold sales? 19
- 20 "Szubin told Atilla that Turkish customs data showed a 21 significant decline in Turkish gold sales to Iran, but there 22 did seem to be some continued export of gold in July and August 23 of this year. Atilla confirmed that Halk ceased the 24 facilitation of gold sales to Iran prior to July 1st, 2013.
- 25 light of IFCA, Szubin asked Atilla to help explain who may be

merchants."

Kirschenbaum - Direct

- involved in facilitating that trade. Atilla said that Halk was
 not involved at all, and he speculated that any continued sale
 of gold to Iran probably did not go through financial
 institutions, but was instead cash-based and used jewelry
 - Q. Now, in the middle of that paragraph there's a reference to "in light of IFCA." What is your understanding of what that meant?
 - A. What that meant the implication of the text here is that beginning July 1st, 2013, under the statute known as IFCA, it would be sanctionable for a Turkish bank, such as Halk, to facilitate transactions for the sale of gold to the country of Iran beginning from that date, July 1st.
 - Q. And what was the defendant's response to that question?
 - A. The defendant answered Szubin and told him that Halk was not involved in any trade involving gold between Turkey and Iran and that his assessment was that no Turkish financial institutions --

THE COURT: Could you speak more slowly.

- A. He replied to Szubin that Halkbank was not involved in any gold trade between Turkey and Iran, and he assessed what he believed is that no Turkish financial institution would be involved in transactions for the exportation of gold from Turkey to Iran at that point in time.
- Q. So let's talk next about the second area that was discussed

in this call with respect to securities. Can you just read

- what that discussion was for us? 2
- 3 "Szubin also confirmed two communications that OFAC sent to
- Halk (July 16th and August 27th, 2013) explaining that the 4
- 5 purchase of Turkish securities does not fall under the
- bilateral trade restriction under TRA" -- or Threat Reduction 6
- 7 Act -- "section 504. Atilla confirmed that understanding."
- This seems to refer to an ongoing discussion. Were you 8
- 9 part of a discussion with respect to Turkish securities related
- 10 to Halkbank?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Can you give us a little context of what was this
- 13 discussion was about?
- 14 The conversations about questions that Halkbank had posed Α.
- to OFAC as to whether Iranian oil funds held in accounts at 15
- Halk could be used by Iranians controlling those accounts to 16
- 17 make investments in securities, such as stocks or bonds, that
- were listed in Turkey, whether that would be subject to 18
- sanctions or whether that would be allowed under sanctions. 19
- 20 Q. During this call, was there any similar discussion about
- 21 whether gold sales were permissible?
- 22 The defendant already knew that gold sales were not
- 23 permissible, which is why the reference in the above paragraph
- 24 is that they had stopped gold sales prior to July 1st because
- 25 they knew they were not permitted under sanctions at that time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So then let's talk about the third topic, which appears to be a little more of what was discussed here. So let's start at the top. What does the first paragraph say about the discussion with respect to humanitarian trade?

A. The first paragraph reads: "Atilla informed Szubin that on October 14, 2014, Halk notified third-country exporters of food and medicine to Iran that the bank would cease facilitation of such transactions. Halk already implemented this change for food exports and will stop financing third-country medicine exports at the end of 2013. Non-Turkish companies with a physical presence in "Iran, (an affiliate or subsidiary) " --

THE COURT: With a physical presence?

- A. Excuse me, sorry. "...with a physical presence in Turkey." I misread that. Thank you. "With a physical presence in Turkey (an affiliate or subsidiary) and an account at Halk would continue to be able to use Halk for such sales, even if the goods did not physically transit Turkey."
- Q. Again, could you give us a little context here? What is this discussion talking about?
- This discussion refers to business that Halk facilitated and of which OFAC was aware, business involving those aforementioned funds derived from sales of Iranian oil to Iran, and the business was -- business in which Halk would facilitate the use of those funds for companies outside of Turkey, such as in Europe and the Middle East or Asia, to sell food or medicine

Kirschenbaum - Direct

or medical devices or other similar goods, which were allowed under sanctions. Halk would facilitate those third-country companies' sales using funds at Halkbank.

The exports would go from the third country, such as European countries, to Iran and the Iranian importers would use those funds derived from oil sales held at Halk, to pay the third-country exporters, and Halk would facilitate the debiting of those accounts to pay the exporters. That was allowed under sanctions.

- Q. Is that kind of trade sometimes referred to as transit trade?
- 12 A. Could you give me a little more context on the usage of transit trade? Specifically related to humanitarian?
 - Q. Yes. Are you familiar with the use of that expression in that context?
 - A. I think it could be referred to as transit trade if it transited Turkey.
 - Q. So who was Halkbank going to allow to continue doing this sort of humanitarian trade?
 - A. So what they communicated in this phone call was that Halk would change, or was in the process of changing its business practice at this time and that they had already stopped allowing the accounts with Iranian oil money to be used to pay non-Turkish exporters of food.

And, similarly, that by December 2013, they would stop

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

Kirschenbaum - Direct

- facilitating the use of the accounts for non-Turkish exporters of medicine to export goods to Iran, and that beginning then at the start of 2014, the only companies that could be paid with those funds at Halkbank would be either companies based in Turkey or companies with a physical -- with an entity located in Turkey, such as an affiliate or subsidiary in Turkey, that those companies could continue to use the money, but non-Turkish companies would no longer be allowed to participate in this business. So going down to the next paragraph, did the defendant give an explanation for why Halkbank was making those decisions? Α. Yes. So if you could read what he told you here in that call? 0. The second paragraph reads: "Halk took this decision after Α. the Turkish ministry of economy expressed concerns at the decrease in Iranian funds held at Halk, which the Turkish government believes should be prioritized for Turkish exports. Atilla explained the dwindling of CBI" -- or Central Bank of
- 18
- Iran -- "reserves as a result of several factors." 19
- 20 Q. And if we could just continue down to the end of the page 21 with some of the discussion of some of those factors?
 - The second next paragraph reads, redacted: "Has reduced purchases of Iranian oil, while Halk's prominence as a center of third-country humanitarian trade with Iran has grown over time, thus, draining funds from the CBI account at Halk."

- Continue?
- Please. Q.

- The next paragraph reads, redacted: "Atilla told Szubin 3
- that Halk assesses that current annualized trade levels between 4
- Turkey and Iran are \$7 billion in imports from Iran (down from 5
- \$9 billion last year) and \$3 billion in exports to Iran (down 6
- 7 from \$9 billion), leaving a \$4 billion annual balance in Iran's
- favor." And the final sentence is redacted. 8
- 9 Q. What does that last phrase mean, "leaving a \$4 billion
- annual balance in Iran's favor"? 10
- What Atilla was telling Szubin is that -- and this refers 11
- to the state of affairs in approximately October 2013 -- is 12
- 13 that the current trade relationship between Iran and Turkey was
- 14 such that Iran exported \$4 billion in goods -- \$4 billion more
- in goods, it should be, than Turkey imported from Iran that was 15
- primarily derived from oil and gas sales. 16
- 17 So that on an annualized basis, given the current
- trade relationship, each year would generate a surplus in the 18
- Turkish banks, such as Halk, of approximately \$4 billion. 19
- 20 each year there should be about \$4 billion building up in those
- 21 accounts from the bilateral trade balance between the two
- 22 countries.
- 23 Q. If we could then go on to the next page, Mr. Chang-Frieden.
- 24 So let's start with the top paragraph, the
- 25 continuation of that discussion about imports and exports?

Case 1:15-cr-00867-RMB Document 420 Filed 01/12/18 Page 22 of 163

HCBPATI1 Kirschenbaum - Direct

A. The next paragraph reads: "Atilla estimated that Iran's imports of food (probably including agricultural commodities) and medicine (probably including medical devices) total \$10 billion and \$5 billion annually. While Halk did not state the value of the third-country humanitarian trade it facilitated for Iran last year, the Turkish government's expressed concern -- "the Turkish government has expressed concern that non-Turkish trade would overwhelm Turkish trade and eat up the" balance "in the CBI," or Central Bank of Iran --THE COURT: Eat up the?

A. Excuse me, "eat up the surplus." Correction. "Eat up the surplus in the CBI account."

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Mr. Kirschenbaum, do you have any understanding of why eating up the surplus in the CBI account would be a source of concern?
- A. My understanding of what Atilla meant by that was that the Turkish government wanted to privilege these funds for exports by Turkish companies to Iran, which would help the Turkish economy. And that because Halk had an existing business line facilitating humanitarian trade, such as food and medicine from non-Turkish businesses, the concern was that as the balance or surplus dwindled over time, given the large amount of third-country trade, all of the money in the accounts that -at that point a \$4 billion annualized surplus, that could all be eaten up by European, Asian, other companies selling things like food and medicine, and Turkish exporters would not -- Iran would not be able to pay Turkish exporters. The implication being that Iran would choose -- without Turkish government intervention, Iran would choose to privilege the third-country food and medicine imports over Turkish goods. Leading to Turkish exporters missing out or having reduced business because of Iranian business decisions.
- So then let's look at the final paragraph of this document and talk about what the solution was. If you could read that for us.
- "Halk proposed two options to increase liquidity in the CBI account and allow it to continue to facilitate third-country

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kirschenbaum - Direct

- humanitarian exports to Iran. The first would be to transfer 1 2 locked-up oil sale surpluses in [redacted] to a special purpose 3 account at Halk, which would only be used for humanitarian 4 trade."
 - Q. If I can stop you there for a second. What does the reference to "locked-up oil sales surpluses" refer to?
 - That phrase, "locked-up oil surpluses," refers to funds derived from the sale of Iranian oil to some other country, not Turkey, that were held in a bank located in that other third country, that under the sanctions were likewise restricted for certain purposes under U.S. sanctions, such as bilateral trade or exports from the third country to Iran, as well as other humanitarian exemptions. So they were not under sanctions allowed to be transferred to an account at Halk.
 - 0. Why would a transfer between a third country and Halkbank in Turkey be the subject of discussion with OFAC?
 - A. Without -- without some sort of dispensation from OFAC, any bank involved in a transfer -- at this time, any bank involved in a transfer of funds derived from Iranian oil sales in a given country to another country could be subject to sanctions imposed by OFAC, including both the third-country bank remitting the funds and a bank such as, in this scenario, Halkbank in Turkey that were to receive such funds.
 - I'm sorry I interrupted. If you can read the rest of the paragraph, please.

7

8

9

10

Kirschenbaum - Cross

- I'll ask for help. Did I stop at "humanitarian trade"? 1
 - Q. I think so.
- 3 Okay. See, I'm not very good at reading e-mails. Α.

The paragraph continues: "The second," the second 4

5 option, "the second would be to allow Halk to facilitate a

6 portion of third-country purchases of Iranian oil, which would

allow a larger surplus to accumulate. Szubin told Atilla that

he would take back both proposals to review and would reach out

to Halk in the near future to continue the discussion."

- Q. Did that second option implicate some of the same concerns
- 11 that you were just describing?
- 12 A. Yes. Under sanctions rules at that time, a foreign
- 13 financial institution, such as Halk in Turkey, that facilitated
- 14 the sale of Iranian oil to buyers located in a different
- 15 country, a third country, not Turkey in this scenario, would
- also be subject to sanctions under the rules at the time. 16
- 17 Again, without some sort of dispensation from OFAC, which is
- what they sought. 18
- MR. DENTON: If I could just have a moment, your 19
- 20 Honor. No further question, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, for
- 22 cross-examination.
- 23 MR. ROCCO: If I may, your Honor.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. ROCCO:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Kirschenbaum. My name is Vic Rocco and I represent Mr. Atilla. Have you ever met Mr. Atilla?
 - A. No.

- 4 | Q. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Atilla?
- 5 A. I've participated in phone calls in which he spoke. I
- 6 don't believe I personally spoke to him on those phone calls.
- 7 Q. Other than the phone call that's referenced in the memo
- 8 | that you just read, were there any other occasions where you
- 9 participated in telephone calls with Mr. Atilla?
- 10 A. I'm not certain. I know that I participated in other
- 11 | telephone calls or discussions with Halkbank officials. I
- 12 don't recall if Atilla was on those other case calls.
- Q. So you're not familiar with Mr. Atilla's voice at all; am I
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. I -- well, I have heard his voice from the October 29 call.
- 16 | Q. How do you know that it was his voice?
- 17 | THE COURT: How do you know it was him, do you mean?
- 18 | Q. And how do you know it was Mr. Atilla's voice?
- 19 | THE COURT: Is that the question?
- 20 MR. ROCCO: That's the question, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Or is it how do you know it was
- 22 Mr. Atilla?
- 23 MR. ROCCO: How do you know it was Mr. Atilla's voice.
- 24 | I'll take how do you know it was Mr. Atilla who was speaking.
- THE COURT: Isn't that what you're asking?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kirschenbaum - Cross

- MR. ROCCO: No. The question I'm asking is how do you know it was Mr. Atilla who was speaking.
 - A. I cannot tell you definitively that there wasn't an impostor impersonating him on the call with inside knowledge of the workings of Halkbank. That is hypothetically possible, yes.
 - Q. I wouldn't have thought to be an impostor.

THE COURT: We get it. He doesn't know.

MR. ROCCO: I didn't need the sarcasm, your Honor.

Q. Mr. Kirschenbaum, can we bring up Government Exhibit 7021, the exhibit that you were just referring to and is in evidence. Can I direct your attention to the first page. The line identified as attachments, Halk readout V4.doc.

Can you tell me what V4 means?

- 15 A. Version four.
- 16 | O. Version four?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know what happened to the three prior versions of this document?
 - A. Generally speaking, when a policy advisor, other official of Treasury Department drafts a memo for the consumption of senior decisionmakers like the agency director, we will go through several working drafts and edits to make sure we've represented all the information accurately. So I believe there would have been here three other versions that people would

- have edited before the final version that I transmitted to 1 Director Szubin. 2
- Would Director Szubin have reviewed this memo? 3
- Version four? 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Version four, and -- let's start with version four. Would
- Director Szubin have reviewed version four? 6
- 7 This was actually sent to him. I can tell from the A. Yes.
- "to" line. This was for his consumption. He was the audience. 8
- 9 It is unlikely he would have seen the prior three versions,
- 10 although I couldn't say definitively at this time.
- 11 I'm sorry. You said it is unlikely that he would have seen
- 12 the prior three versions?
- 13 Most likely not, although I can't say for certain. Α.
- 14 Do you recall who else was on that phone call with you? Q.
- 15 see that parts of this are blocked out. But, Michael Lieberman
- was on the phone call; is that correct? 16
- 17 I don't know that -- the answer is I'm not sure. The fact
- that someone is on the "to" line here wouldn't indicate that 18
- 19 they were on the call. It means they were a recipient of my
- 20 memo.
- 21 Q. When you are on these calls, and I think you testified on
- 22 direct that you were the note taker. Am I correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 What do you do with your notes typically when you conclude
- 25 a memorandum based on your notes?

- A. Most of the time my notes remain just in my working papers
- 2 | in my office. They wouldn't necessarily be filed with the
- 3 | final memorandum. It is unlikely they would have been.
- 4 Q. It is unlikely they would have been -- I'm having a heard
- 5 | time hearing you. Can you speak into the microphone.
 - Did you say it's unlikely that they would go into a file?
 - A. Correct. My understanding of your question was my working notes from the call.
- 10 | O. Yes.

6

7

8

- 11 A. The general practice -- is that better volume?
- 12 Q. Yes. Thank you.
- 13 A. The general practice would be when drafting of the memo was
- 14 completed, the memo would be disseminated to the audience and
- 15 | filed in our folders on the computer. My working-level
- 16 | handwritten notes in a spiral notebook would generally stay as
- 17 | working papers in my office. They wouldn't typically be filed
- 18 | away with the final memo.
- 19 Q. If we can go to the second page of the memorandum. Before
- 20 we get there, this is a phone call that was placed by your
- 21 office to Mr. Atilla's office or to Halkbank?
- 22 | A. In this instance I don't recall which party called the
- 23 other. It was between OFAC and Halk. I don't know who called
- 24 whom.
- 25 | Q. To the best of your recollection, who is on the telephone

- call from Treasury, from OFAC? 1
- So, I know that I myself participated in it and Adam Szubin 2
- 3 led the call. I remember there were many other people in the
- 4 room, but I would be hard pressed to give you an accurate
- 5 accounting at this point of which people were or were not in
- the room for that particular call. 6
- 7 Q. Aside from Mr. Atilla, do you recall who was on the phone,
- who was on the call from Halkbank? 8
- 9 A. No, I don't.
- 10 Do you recall if all the people on the Treasury side of the
- 11 call identified themselves during the conversation or at the
- 12 outset of the conversation?
- 13 I want to clarify. Your question is whether every Treasury Α.
- 14 person in the room announced their presence on the call to
- 15 Mr. Atilla during the call?
- 16 Ο. Yes, sir.
- 17 I don't recall definitively. Likely not. Oftentimes when
- 18 there is a large group, Director Szubin or someone in that
- position will explain I'm here, I am the director, and here are 19
- 20 a couple other important people, and there are a number of
- 21 other staff in the room.
- 22 Do you remember how long the conversation took?
- 23 A. More than a few minutes but not hours. I couldn't tell you
- 24 whether it was 20 minutes or an hour. I'm not sure at this
- 25 point.

- 1 | Q. Were there any other subjects that you recall being
- 2 discussed during this telephone conversation?
- 3 A. Beyond the topics discussed?
- 4 Q. That are covered by the memo, I apologize.
- 5 A. No, I don't recall any others.
- 6 Q. By the way, do you have an independent recollection of this
- 7 | conversation? In other words, do you recall the conversation
- 8 | aside from the memorandum?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Have you recently reviewed this memorandum?
- 11 A. Prior to today?
- 12 | Q. Prior to your testimony today, yes.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Where did you do that?
- 15 A. I reviewed this memorandum in my offices at FinCEN in
- 16 Washington, D.C. approximately, less than a month ago. A
- 17 matter of weeks, two or three weeks ago.
- 18 | Q. Did you review it any other time?
- 19 A. I don't believe I reviewed this exact memorandum any other
- 20 | time. Instances other than today and that previous time in my
- 21 | office.
- 22 | THE COURT: Other than what?
- 23 | THE WITNESS: Other than today in the courtroom and
- 24 | then the instance in my office about three weeks ago.
- 25 believe were the only two times.

ATI2 Kirschenbaum – Cross

- 1 | Q. When you reviewed it three weeks ago, who did you review it
- 2 | with, if anyone?
- 3 A. With U.S. government personnel, including the Assistant
- 4 United States Attorney David Denton.
- 5 | Q. This was in connection with your preparation for testimony
- 6 here today?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. Did Mr. Denton bring this memo to your attention?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. You went through the memo with Mr. Denton pretty much like
- 11 | you went through the memorandum with Mr. Denton here today; am
- 12 | I correct?
- 13 A. More or less, yes.
- 14 | Q. You read it with him, correct?
- 15 A. Three weeks ago?
- 16 | Q. Three weeks ago, yes, sir.
- 17 A. Yes, I read the memo in front of him. I read it myself and
- 18 | then we discussed it.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. Was this on the telephone?
- 20 A. This was in person.
- 21 | Q. This was a meeting in person in your office?
- 22 A. Correct. Or at FinCEN offices in Washington.
- 23 | O. Your former offices.
- 24 A. My current office.
- 25 | Q. I'm sorry. So, is that the only time that you met with the

Kirschenbaum - Cross

- prosecutors in this case in connection with your testimony here today?
- 3 A. In person you're asking?
 - Q. We'll start with in person.
- A. In person there was that meeting at FinCEN offices less
 than a month ago. And then I came up here to this courthouse
 last week, but my testimony was delayed so I had an in-person
- 8 | interaction last Wednesday.
- 9 Q. Any other times that you spoke about this memorandum to anybody on the prosecution team?
- 11 A. By telephone, yes.
- 12 | Q. How many times did that happen?
- 13 A. We've discussed this memorandum by telephone probably two
 14 or three times.
- Q. If we can, can we talk about now the substance that you referenced on the second page of the memorandum, and I think
- 17 that you testified to an exemption regarding securities; am I
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Can you tell me, are securities exempt from the bilateral
- 21 | trade restriction or are they not exempt from the bilateral
- 22 | trade restriction?
- 23 A. If I may, could I suggest we talk about at the time of this
- 24 discussion because those -- they're no longer in effect.
- 25 | Q. Thank you for the correction. Absolutely. I'm only

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

Kirschenbaum - Cross

talking about at the time of this discussion.

So, what I communicated here, excuse me. I summarized Adam Szubin's communication, Director Szubin's communication that OFAC made a interpretation that under the bilateral trade exemptions of Iran sanctions which referred to the limitation on the use of Iranian oil sale proceeds for importation of goods from the purchasing country. That securities did not qualify as goods.

So in other words, we said under the sanctions, Iran cannot use the money from sales of oil to Turkey to buy Turkish stocks or bonds. That was our determination, our interpretation of the bilateral trade exemption of sanctions.

- To your knowledge, had this subject been discussed before with Mr. Atilla or someone else at Halkbank?
- Before when? 15 Α.
- Before the conversation on October 29, 2013. 16
- 17 For that I go off the memo, because I don't have personal recollection of those discussions. But my summary references 18 two earlier communications from OFAC to Halk going back to July 19 20 of 2013, which would have been in response to a prior question 21 from Halk. So the discussion has to go, at least the initial 22 discussion would have had to predate July 16, 2013.
 - Directing your attention to the discussion about humanitarian trade. Am I correct in my understanding that there was a fund of Iranian moneys or an account at Halkbank

- that was being depleted as a result of humanitarian trade exemption under the sanctions?
- 3 A. That is what was represented to OFAC during this phone call
- 4 between Mr. Atilla and Mr. Szubin. I couldn't tell you
- 5 | factually that's what actually occurred or not.
- Q. Was it also discussed the reason why those funds were being
- 7 depleted during the telephone conversation?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The funds were being depleted because large companies were using the using those funds to be paid for their transit trade
- 11 | with Iran; is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct in what was represented, yes.
- Q. You also said that the large companies were European and
- 14 Asian based I think?
- 15 A. I was using those examples. I meant basically large companies based in any country other than Turkey.
- Q. How about American companies, like Cargo and Bunge. Were they also mentioned during the call?
- 19 A. If I could break your question up. American companies
- 20 | would have been allowed to use -- it would have been
- 21 permissible under sanctions for Iran to pay American exporters
- 22 | of food or medicine or other humanitarian goods to Iran. They
- 23 could have been paid from those accounts at Halk. And it was
- 24 represented that large multinational companies from around the
- 25 world used these accounts. I can also tell you from personal

I2 Kirschenbaum – Cross

anecdotal knowledge that I remember Halk was a major hub so many companies --

THE COURT: You've got to speak slowly.

- A. I remember being aware that many large companies had accounts at Halk to be paid for their humanitarian exports. I couldn't comment on specific -- I don't know whether specific companies like Cargo or Bunge or whether any particular American companies did or did not get paid from the accounts.
- Q. Was it part of the conversation in the form of a complaint that Turkish companies were being pushed out and larger multinational companies were essentially using these funds?
- A. I wouldn't characterize it as Halk complaining. They told us that the Turkish government had instructed them to cease facilitating the business of the large multinationals because it was a risk of smaller Turkish exports of other types of goods, besides food and medicine, being pushed out.

MR. ROCCO: Thank you, Mr. Kirschenbaum. Your Honor, I'm done.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. DENTON: Nothing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks very much, Mr. Kirschenbaum.

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: We'll have the next government witness.

MR. LOCKARD: The United States calls Huseyin Korkmaz, $\label{eq:K-O-R-K-M-A-Z} \text{K-O-R-K-M-A-Z}.$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

Korkmaz - Direct

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, if you can step up to the witness stand, remain standing for a moment. Raise your right hand, please.

Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony that you shall give this court and jury in this issue now on trial shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, sir. You may be seated. Could you please state your full name for the record and spell your first name as well as your last name.

THE WITNESS: Huseyin Korkmaz, H-U-S-E-Y-I-N

13 K-O-R-K-M-A-Z.

HUSEYIN KORKMAZ,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified with the aid of a

Turkish language interpreter as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. LOCKARD:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Korkmaz.
- 21 A. Good morning.
- 22 | Q. Sir, where are you from originally?
- 23 A. Turkey.
- 24 | Q. In what country do you reside currently?
- 25 A. United States of America.

- When you lived in Turkey, did you have a profession? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 What was that profession? 0.
- I was a deputy inspector in the police force, and I also 4 Α.
- 5 worked as a consultant for a while.
- When you were a police officer in Turkey, is there a 6
- 7 particular city where you were principally assigned?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 What city was that? Q.
- 10 It was Istanbul, and later it was Hakkari. Α.
- 11 0. During what years --
- 12 THE COURT: Could we get the spelling for the second
- 13 city?
- 14 MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.
- 15 THE WITNESS: (In English) H-A-K-K-A-R-I.
- 16 During what years were you assigned to Istanbul? Q.
- 17 2010 and 2014. Α.
- THE COURT: 2010 through 2014? 18
- 19 THE WITNESS: That is correct, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Is the second city Hakkari, is that near
- 21 Istanbul.
- 22 THE WITNESS: No, it is not close at all.
- 23 So we'll get to that in just a moment. But first, while
- 24 you were assigned to the city of Istanbul, did you become
- 25 familiar with an investigation involving Reza Zarrab, Mehmet

- Hakan Atilla, and others? 1
- 2 MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
 - Yes. Α.

organization.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- How was it that you were familiar with that investigation?
- 6 I was the lead for the team that was conducting this Α. 7 investigation.
 - Who were the principal targets of that investigation?
 - The investigation had started on the organization that was being led by Reza Zarrab, and then we had identified three other groups that were within the umbrella of this
- 13 Q. Who were the principal members or leaders of each of those 14 three other groups?
 - A. For the second group, we had understood that Zafer Caglayan and Suleyman Aslan were acting as leaders. And I apologize here, I was referring to the organization led by Reza Zarrab as being the first group. So this would actually be the first group of the other three.

So then, the second group for the rest of the groups was being led by Muammer Guler, and a third group --

THE COURT: Could you spell the leader of the second group.

24 (In English) M-U-A-M-M-E-R G-U-L-E-R. THE WITNESS:

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

- A. And the third group was being led by -- we identified that person to be Taha Ahmet Alacaci, who had been identified as an individual who had worked with Mr. Reza Zarrab before as a partner and had severed his ways at a later time.
 - THE COURT: Could you spell that.
 - THE WITNESS: (In English) T-A-H-A A-H-M-E-T
 A-L-A-C-I.
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
 - A. On top of these first two groups that consisted of government individuals, we had identified that there was an individual, head of these two groups, that was described as Mr. Reza Zarrab as number one.
 - Q. So, let's just start with the first people that you mentioned. At the time of your investigation, who was Zafer Caglayan?
 - A. Zafer Caglayan was the minister of economy during that time.
 - Q. At the time of your investigation, who was Suleyman Aslan?
- 19 A. Suleyman Aslan was the general manager of Halkbank during
 20 that time.
- Q. You also mentioned Muammer Guler. At the time of your investigation, who was Mr. Guler?
- A. Muammer Guler was the minister of interior during that time.
 - Q. You also mentioned an individual called number one?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Based on your investigation, did you have an understanding
- 3 of who number one was?
 - A. Yes.

- 5 | Q. Who did you understand stand that to be?
- 6 A. Recep Tayyip Erdogan.
- 7 THE COURT: Could you spell that?
- 8 THE WITNESS: (In English)
- 9 \parallel R-E-C-E-P T-A-Y-Y-I-P E-R-D-O-G-A-N.
- 10 | Q. At the time of your investigation, who was Mr. Erdogan?
- 11 A. He was the prime minister during that time.
- 12 | Q. So, Mr. Korkmaz, we'll come back and discuss your
- 13 | investigation in a little bit more detail in a few minutes.
- 14 | I'd like first to ask you a little about your background, your
- 15 profession and your training.
- 16 Mr. Korkmaz, how far did you go in school?
- 17 A. I'm a graduate of the police academy, meaning a university
- 18 graduate.
- 19 \parallel Q. When did you get your degree from the police academy?
- 20 | A. It was in 2010.
- 21 | Q. Did you have any training or education in law enforcement
- 22 prior to attending the police academy?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What was that training or education?
- 25 A. I also studied in the police college, which is a high

school.

1

- Is that a high school with a law enforcement focus? 2
- 3 In terms of its curriculum, that is a school that focuses
- 4 mostly on physical sciences, but as far as its positioning, it
- 5 is a high school positioned to be before the police academy, to
- 6 college level. And it is a school that is established by the
- 7 government in order to have ranked police officers that
- graduate from that school. 8
- 9 Q. When you graduated from the police academy in 2010, did you
- 10 have any particular honors or awards as part of your degree?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Can you describe what those were.
- 13 I had finished the school as the third student in rank. Α.
- 14 That's out of a class of how many? Q.
- It was 360 and some. 15 Α.
- Where was your first assignment after graduating from the 16
- 17 police academy?
- 18 Α. Istanbul.
- 19 Were you assigned any particular unit in Istanbul? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What unit were you assigned to in 2010? Q.
- 22 Α. It was the battling financial crimes unit.
- 23 Did you have any particular area of focus within the
- 24 financial crimes unit?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- Q. What was your focus when you were initially assigned to the
- 2 | financial crimes unit?
- 3 A. It was battling economic crimes.
- 4 | Q. Can you describe a little more about what kinds of crimes
- 5 | are included in that definition?
- 6 A. The desk that I was assigned to was dealing with major
- 7 | fraud crimes.
- 8 | Q. Was that a supervisory position?
- 9 A. Yes, I was a ranked officer at a deputy inspector level,
- 10 | and I was a team lead.
- 11 | Q. Approximately how large was your team at that time?
- 12 A. I had started with three officers, which was then expanded
- 13 | to four officers.
- 14 | Q. Did there come a time when you took over a new
- 15 | responsibility within the financial crimes unit?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 \parallel Q. When was that?
- 18 A. It was in early 2012.
- 19 Q. What was your new position in early 2012?
- 20 A. I became the team lead for projects and corruption.
- 21 | Q. Before you took over that team, what had been the
- 22 responsibilities of that group?
- 23 | A. This group was in two separate desks and was the projects
- 24 as well as the corruption, they were working separately. The
- 25 corruption desk was dealing with bribery, embezzlement, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Korkmaz - Direct

also bid interference and also crimes related to execution of contracts after bids.

And the project desk was conducting investigations on the organized aspect of the crimes being looked after by the corruption desk. So, those that were being committed as an organized fashion, the project desk was investigating those.

- Q. When you took over responsibility for that group, what were the responsibilities of that group going forward?
- A. So the tasks that I listed earlier basically merged. And then for all the teams within the unit, then all of their organized crime tasks were combined within the corruption and projects desk.
- Q. So what kinds of organized crime investigations would fall under the projects group?
- A. So, the major fraud that would be looked after within the entire unit, also usury and money laundering or criminal proceeds laundering, so within these crimes, those that are committed as an organization, the projects and corruption desk would investigate those.
- Q. Approximately how many officers did you supervise as the head of the projects and public corruption desk?
- A. At the time that I left, it was eight.
- 23 Q. For how long were you the supervisor at that desk?
- 24 A. Close to two years.
 - Q. During your time in the financial crimes unit in Istanbul,

- 1 | did you have additional training that you took?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe some of the additional training that you
- 4 received while in the financial crimes unit.
- 5 A. The financial crimes unit was under the umbrella of KOM,
- 6 which stood for antismuggling and organized crimes directorate.
- 7 And within the KOM, then there was an international training
- 8 unit called TADOC. And within that TADOC, I received training
- 9 under many titles.
- 10 Q. Just to help out the record, can you explain what TADOC is,
- 11 | including how to spell it?
- 12 A. T-A-D-O-C. TADOC is an English name that I don't remember
- 13 what it stood for. But the spelling is as such, T-A-D-O-C.
- 14 And through this place, expert training was being provided at
- 15 | the international level and also in fields that would fall
- 16 under the KOM.
- 17 | Q. Can you give us just a couple of examples of the kinds of
- 18 | training you received through that program.
- 19 A. I had received a two-week training that pertained to KOM
- 20 | branch. And I also had received training on analysis of
- 21 | criminal data. I had received training on an expert in
- 22 | economic crimes. I had received training on crimes pertaining
- 23 | to corruption. I also received training on operational police
- 24 | tactics and shooting.
- 25 | Q. During your time in the financial crimes unit, did you

- provide training to others? 1
- Yes. 2 Α.

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 3 Can you describe the training that you provided to others?
- TADOC took me as an instructor, and I provided training in 4 Α. 5 battling economic crimes.
- 6 So let's turn back to the investigation that you started 7 describing earlier.

When did that investigation originate? 8

- This investigation had started in 2013. I'm sorry, it was 2012. In September of 2012.
- 11 Q. When the investigation began, who were the principal 12 suspects of the investigation?
- 13 A. When the investigation initially began, it was an 14 investigation on Reza Zarrab and his organization as the nucleus of the organization. 15
 - Q. What was the type of conduct that was principally being investigated at the outset?
 - A. At the outset, the conducts that were being investigated were smuggling, laundering of criminal proceeds or money laundering, and committing these crimes as an organized crime
- 22 When you say "smuggling," smuggling of what?
- 23 Α. Gold.

unit.

24 When you first became involved in the investigation, did 25 you have any familiarity with Mr. Zarrab prior to that time?

- Prior to the investigation, I did not know Mr. Reza Zarrab. 1
- But that may not be an objective observation because, 2
- 3 apparently, the rest of the team knew him through magazine
- news, through entertainment news, and I was not into those 4
- 5 news, so I did not know much about Mr. Zarrab.
- 6 Q. I think you said that as the investigation went on, the
- 7 people who were the suspects of the investigation changed; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 That is correct. Α.
- 10 And grew to include Mr. Caglayan, Mr. Aslan, Mr. Guler,
- 11 Mr. Alacaci, Mr. Erdogan, and others; is that right?
- 12 MR. HARRISON: Objection to form, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 14 I actually did not understand the question fully. Α.
- 15 Q. I'll ask a different question to get us there.
- Did the type of conduct being investigated change as 16
- 17 the investigation went along?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 What additional types of conduct came to be under the scope
- 20 of the investigation?
- 21 Bribery and document forgery.
- 22 As the types of conduct that were being investigated grew,
- 23 did additional individuals come within the scope of the
- 24 investigation as well?
- 25 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Korkmaz - Direct

- What additional individuals became within the scope of the 1 2 investigation?
 - Suleyman Aslan, Muammer Guler, Salih Khan Caglayan, Ozgur Ozdemir, Hikmet Tuner, Onur Kaya, Mustafa Behcet Kaynar.
 - Q. Is it fair to say a number of individuals came within the scope of the investigation?

MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

It's actually many more. If I had more time, I would probably count more names.

THE COURT: Do you know how many people were investigated or who were subject of the investigation, all together?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, what I remember as the count of suspects that were listed within the scope of an operation that was conducted on December 17, that was about 32 to the best of my recollection. But as far as individuals that were suspects within the investigation itself, that was between 50 and 100.

- Shall I continue with other names that I remember?
- I think we can try and draw out particular people as they come up. I think one of the people you mentioned earlier was Suleyman Aslan.
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - The general manager of Halkbank at the time?

HCB3ATI2

Α. Yes.

1

8

- For what type of conduct was Mr. Aslan being investigated? 2 Q.
- 3 Leading an organization, bribery, document forgery, and
- also laundering, money laundering. 4
- 5 Q. Were there others at Halkbank whose conduct was also within
- 6 the scope of the investigation?
- 7 MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Who were those other individuals? Ο.
- 11 Mr. Hakan Atilla, Levent Balkan, Hakan Aydogan.
- 12 You described one of the offenses being investigated was
- 13 bribery.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. What, if anything, did your investigation show about
- whether Mr. Aslan was receiving bribes? 16
- 17 It was very high and it was in various ways.
- What, if anything, did your investigation show about 18
- whether Mr. Atilla was receiving bribes? 19
- 20 That was not something that had come up.
- 21 What, if anything, did your investigation show about
- 22 whether Mr. Balkan was receiving bribes?
- 23 No. No, in fact the individual had refused a matter that
- 24 could be construed as bribery.
- 25 What do you mean by that?

Korkmaz - Direct

A. It was in relation to a transaction that was conducted, and an individual named Nesteren Zarei Deniz had wanted to send him some boxes of sweets.

THE COURT: To who?

THE INTERPRETER: Send to him.

THE COURT: Who is "him"?

THE WITNESS: To Levent Balkan.

MR. HARRISON: I'm going to object based on foundation and hearsay.

THE COURT: Thanks. Overruled.

- A. So, Nesteren offered to give boxes of sweets in return for the transaction that was being conducted by the individual named Levent Balkan, and Balkan took care of the transaction anyway, but said that receiving the sweets would not be appropriate and refused it.
- Q. Lastly, Mr. Aydogan. What, if anything, did your investigation show about whether Mr. Aydogan was receiving bribes?

MR. HARRISON: Objection to foundation and hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled, counsel.

A. We had suspicions on that matter. Taha Ahmet Alacaci, who was one of the suspects in the investigation, invited this individual out to the restaurant to meet with him. And the person that had made this reservation for this restaurant was this individual named Taha Ahmet Alacaci.

Did you develop any further evidence that Mr. Aydogan had 1 2 received bribes besides the restaurant invitation? 3 MR. HARRISON: Objection to foundation and hearsay and 4 lack of personal knowledge. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 A. I'm not that sure about this, but I believe there was 6 7 something about moving a house also, but I'm not sure about this one. 8 9 Q. I'd like to talk a little bit about what was received in 10 exchange for the bribes. Before we do that, let me just ask you a few questions generally about how the investigation was 11 12 conducted. 13 MR. HARRISON: Objection to form. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. What were the principal investigative techniques that you used in your investigation?
 - A. Identification of communications and interception of such communications, surveillance through technical tools, physical surveillance, security camera footage, analysis of mails, documents that were obtained through institutions, auditor and expert reports, pieces of evidence that were seized during the searches within the operation, and also the digital evidence that were seized during the operation.

THE COURT: Did you say digital?

THE INTERPRETER: Digital evidence.

- Q. And when you talk about things that you learned from the investigation, are you describing things that you learned from these investigative techniques?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And from that evidence, what did it show about what types of benefits were provided in exchange for payments that were made?
 - MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 MR. HARRISON: Foundation, hearsay.
- 22 | THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- MR. HARRISON: Foundation, hearsay, lack of personal knowledge.
- 25 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Overruled.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE INTERPRETER: Could you please repeat that question? I'm sorry.

BY MR. LOCKARD:

- Could you describe, at a general level, what the evidence indicated about the benefits provided in exchange for the payments?
- It was the oil and gas payment reserves of Iran being utilized in gold trade and establishing and supporting such a system in doing so and within the system, making Mr. Zarrab into a cartel for doing these things.

And, also, the use of the Iranian oil and gas funds in the fake transit trade system establishment, and support of such a system and having Reza Zarrab become a cartel within the Halkbank, in terms of using this transit trade method.

And also, providing of reference letters for the front companies that were utilized in transferring the Iranian oil money that was kept in China also. So providing loan to Reza Zarrab for a hotel investment.

And also, with regards to 1.5-tons of gold that was brought over from Ghana with completely fake documents and in terms of letting this shipment come in and go out of the country without confiscation and allowing that shipment to go out to Dubai.

And which country is he talking about, the THE COURT: gold came from Ghana to where?

24

25

Korkmaz - Direct

1 THE WITNESS: Turkey, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And you mentioned a loan a few minutes 3 ago, a loan from who to who? 4 THE WITNESS: It was from Halkbank to the Arkla Hotel 5 Company that was Reza Zarrab's company. 6 Also, the gold trade method restarting during a time 7 when gold trade had been banned and the transit trade was being utilized. 8 9 THE COURT: And when was that? 10 THE WITNESS: This is after July, starting from 11 September, sir. 12 THE COURT: Of what year? 13 THE WITNESS: 2013. 14 Also, providing Turkish citizenship to family members 15 and members of his organization, of Reza Zarrab. And also, taking over of Sarkuysan Corporation by Reza 16 17 Zarrab and his friends by using powers in the government, 18 providing an official guard to Mr. Reza Zarrab, the exile of a 19 police chief, who was not on good terms with Reza Zarrab. 20 BY MR. LOCKARD: 21 So, Mr. Korkmaz, I'd like to, if we can, talk about a 22 little bit of the evidence relating to the payment of bribes

And, Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could show for Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 106.

that you developed in your investigation.

Mr. Korkmaz, do you see what's shown before you?

Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q. And how is it that you're able to recognize Government's Exhibit 106?
 - A. This is an image of the funds that had been sent in shoeboxes, what we identified as had been sent by Reza Zarrab in the past, and these were found in the house of Suleyman Aslan during a search that was conducted on December 17th, 2013.
 - MR. HARRISON: Judge, I object and move to strike based on foundation, lack of foundation --
 - THE COURT: Just out of curiosity, what does the foundation objection mean?
 - MR. HARRISON: Judge, I don't believe that we have the underlying evidence in this case, and I believe under 403, it is unfair to allow images of it in. I think he's --
 - THE COURT: I'm trying to understand what you mean by foundation.
- MR. HARRISON: Sure. Judge, I don't think he's --19
- 20 THE COURT: Well, if you could just explain what's 21 wrong with the foundation that has been established.
 - MR. HARRISON: Sure. Judge, I don't think he has any personal knowledge of the images in this picture.
 - THE COURT: As the investigator in this investigation, he does not --

ATI3 Korkmaz - Direct

- 1 MR. HARRISON: Yes, I don't think he was --
- 2 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 3 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 4 Q. So, Mr. Korkmaz, is this an image that was obtained in the
- 5 course of the investigation?
- 6 A. Yes.

7

- Q. And when did you obtain the image that's shown?
- A. We obtained it during the operation that was conducted, and also on the same day, during the deposition of an individual
- 10 | named Fatma Aslan we utilized these images.
- 11 Q. And just focusing on when you obtained the image, from whom
- 12 | did you obtain the image?
- 13 A. The teams that went out to conduct the searches, they had
- 14 utilized video and images also on site. So they had brought
- 15 | these back to the unit.
- 16 | Q. And what, if any, instructions did you provide to the team
- 17 | that had provided you with this image before the operation
- 18 began?
- 19 A. I had instructed them that there might be large amounts of
- 20 money that is being kept in the house of Suleyman Aslan, either
- 21 | in shoeboxes or in other ways, and I reminded them that these
- 22 | monies may be subject to the investigation, the criminal
- 23 proceeds that are mentioned in the investigation; that these
- 24 may be related to those funds, and that if found, that they
- 25 should be seized and that there was also a court order to that

Korkmaz - Direct

- effect anyway, to confiscate any item that may be identified during the searches.
 - Q. And then when did you obtain this image from the team that had been assigned to the Suleyman Aslan search?

THE INTERPRETER: Could you please repeat that again, please.

- Q. And then when did you first obtain the image that had been -- when did you first obtain the image from the team that you had assigned to search Mr. Aslan's home?
- A. It was first sent to my unit chief and most likely it was on WhatsApp. In addition to that, the team that had gone to do the search at Suleyman Aslan's, a part of that team that conducted the search, I saw them coming in during the evening. And during the evening time, I recall watching some of the images, the video that had been brought in.
- Q. And what, if anything else, did the team assigned to the Suleyman Aslan search bring back that relates to the image shown in this picture?
- A. There were documents that were seized. There were notebooks, digital evidence. There was an image that had been obtained on site, but as far as the image or the images that were obtained on site, I recall that those images were brought in at night, actually, because the teams that had conducted the search at Suleyman Aslan's home had gone to the Halkbank office after that search, and after that, they brought the images

over.

1

- Q. Mr. Korkmaz, focusing just on what's shown in Government 2
- 3 Exhibit 106, did the team bring back anything that relates to
- 4 that image?
- 5 A. So here, there is a white box shown on the bottom.
- 6 white box is a shoebox with the Inci brand. This was a bribery
- 7 payment that we had identified during our investigation that
- had been sent to Suleyman Aslan's home previously, and the 8
- 9 images, as well as the shoeboxes mentioned, were brought into
- 10 our unit.
- 11 Ο. What about money?
- 12 Α. That came.
- 13 MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, the government offers 106
- 14 and ask that it be published to the jury.
- 15 MR. HARRISON: Same objection, Judge.
- THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow it. 16
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 106 received in evidence)
- 18 THE WITNESS: Can we get water, please? Thank you.
- MR. LOCKARD: 19 Thank you, Mr. Chang-Frieden.
- 20 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 21 Q. And, Mr. Korkmaz, can you remind us, when was the
- 22 operation -- on what date was the operation during which this
- 23 photograph was taken?
- 24 It was December 17th, 2013. Α.
- 25 Can we also show for Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 105.

HCBPATI3

Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize that photograph?

Α. Yes.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

- 3 And how do you recognize that photograph?
- Likewise, this was an image that was taken during the 17th 4 Α.
- 5 of December 2013 operation at Suleyman Aslan's home and, again,
- 6 likewise, the image was utilized in Fatma Aslan's deposition
- 7 later.

MR. LOCKARD: I offer Government's Exhibit 105 and ask that it be published.

> MR. HARRISON: Same objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

(Government's Exhibit 105 received in evidence)

13 THE COURT: It's a little difficult to make out the

- 14 image. Could you inquire, counsel, about what's in that photo?
- 15 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- And, Mr. Korkmaz, can you describe what's depicted in 16
- 17 Government's Exhibit 105?
- A. It's stacks of 100-dollar bank notes, also stacks of Euro 18
- bank notes at 500 Euros each, also stacks of 100 Turkish Lira 19
- 20 bank notes.
- 21 And this photograph was taken where?
- 22 At the home of Suleyman Aslan.
- 23 Now, you also mentioned that the investigation related to
- 24 bribe payments paid to Mr. Caglayan?
- 25 Α. Yes.

Mr. Chang-Frieden, could you please show for

- Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 971-16. 2
- 3 Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize that?
- 4 Yes. Α.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And how is it that you're able to recognize that?
- It's an image that was taken during the technical Α. surveillance that was conducted on the bribery transportation at the airport on August 30th of 2013 within our investigation.
 - Q. And from whom did you obtain the image that's shown in this exhibit?
 - This image was taken out of video footage and the team, the surveillance staff, that had gone on site to participate in this had obtained it and brought it into the unit. So as soon as it had arrived at the unit, I had watched it, and I had also watched it when the surveillance team brought over the CD that contained their reports on this technical surveillance activity.
 - Q. And what, if any, instructions had you given to the surveillance team before they had gone out on the surveillance?
 - A. We had discussed this with the surveillance team lead, as well as the assistant director for the unit and my supervisor of the unit, and we had discussed that it would be appropriate to open up and look at the monies that were in there.
 - And approximately how much time passed between when you gave those instructions and you got the image that's shown in

- 1 Government's Exhibit 971-16 number?
- 2 A. It was all on that same day. So I apologize, the
- 3 surveillance had been decided upon a few days before, and we
- 4 | had decided on that day, during the day of the operation, that
- 5 | the bags should be opened.
- 6 Q. And what's the day when you got the images?
- 7 | A. It's August 30th, 2013.
- 8 MR. LOCKARD: The government offers 971-16.
- 9 MR. HARRISON: Same objection, Judge.
- 10 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 11 | (Government's Exhibit 971-16 received in evidence)
- 12 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 13 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize the individuals that are
- 14 | shown in 971-16?
- 15 A. I recognize two of them.
- 16 | Q. And who are the two men that you recognize?
- 17 A. The one on the left in white clothes with glasses, that is
- 18 Ahmet Murat Ozis. He was one of the suspects in our
- 19 | investigation. Also, to the far right of the photograph is an
- 20 | individual that is known as Sadegh, and an individual that we
- 21 also refer to in our investigation is Sadegh. He's an Iranian
- 22 | individual named Mohammadsadegh Rastgarshishegh.
- 23 | Q. What did you learn from the investigation about who
- 24 Mr. Ozis and Mr. Sadegh were?
- MR. HARRISON: Objection, calls for hearsay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Ahmet Murat Ozis was someone in the organization who was a Α. reliable courier to be utilized in bribery deliveries. Aside from that, he was also an individual within the organization that was dealing with the passenger list that was needed in order to be able to do the gold exports. And he was also the official administrator of a company called Tasbasi, which was also under the control of Mr. Reza Zarrab. And he was also responsible for the import of gold that would be brought back from Dubai that had been sent through this company, as well as the Duru Company.
- Q. And when you said Mr. Ozis did those things, who did he do them for?
 - I'm sorry, you're going to have to THE INTERPRETER: repeat that again.
- When you said that Mr. Ozis did those things that you described, who did he do them for?
- For the leader of the organization, Reza Zarrab. Α.
- 19 And did Mr. Sadegh also work for Mr. Zarrab? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And what is shown in Government's Exhibit 971, where was 22 that taken?
- 23 This is at the Turk airport. This was an image that was 24 taken inside a domestic terminal, at the entrance, and the 25 individuals were stopped by undercover police officers that

- were tasked at the airport, and their suitcases and bags were open.
- 3 | Q. And did you have an understanding of where Mr. Ozis and
- 4 Mr. Sadegh were traveling?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And on what kinds of evidence was your understanding based?
- 7 A. Based on phone conversations.
- 8 | Q. And where were Mr. Sadegh or Mr. Ozis going?
- 9 A. They went to the province of Ankara, to the house of Salih
- 10 Kaan Caglayan.
- 11 Q. And who is Mr. Salih Kaan Caglayan?
- 12 A. He is the son of Zafer Caglayan, who was the minister of
- 13 economy at that time.
- 14 | Q. And other than the individuals in 971-16, what else is
- 15 | shown in that picture?
- 16 A. Monies that were inside the backpack.
- MR. LOCKARD: And, Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could
- 18 please show Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 971-15.
- 19 | Q. Do you recognize that?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Is that from the same surveillance?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. LOCKARD: We offer 971-15.
- MR. HARRISON: Same objection, sir.
- 25 | THE COURT: I'll allow it.

HCBPATI3

1

(Government's Exhibit 971-15 received in evidence)

- BY MR. LOCKARD: 2
- Q. And, Mr. Korkmaz, could you please describe what's shown in 3
- 4 this photograph?
- That's stacks of money that's inside a suitcase. 5
- Now, Mr. Korkmaz, you said that this delivery was on 6
- 7 August 30th of 2013?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Is there any particular significance to that date?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 And what is the significance of August 30th, 2013?
- 12 The date of August 30th is the holiday of Victory Day in
- 13 Turkey.
- 14 And what is the word for "victory" in Turkish?
- It's "zafer." 15 Α.
- Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could please now show Mr. Korkmaz 16
- 17 Government's Exhibit 971-78.
- 18 Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize this?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And is this also obtained from your investigation? 0.
- 21 Yes. Α.
- 22 And how did you obtain what's shown in 971-78?
- 23 This was obtained through technical surveillance on
- 24 April 19th, 2013, by the surveillance teams. It was brought in
- 25 on the same day when I watched it, as it was brought in, and

5

6

- then the surveillance team later provided their report, as well 1 2 as a CD for this activity, and I remember watching it also when 3 they brought those.
 - MR. LOCKARD: The government offers 971-78 and ask that it be published to the jury.
 - MR. HARRISON: Same objections, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- (Government's Exhibit 971-78 received in evidence) 8
- 9 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 10 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, can you remind us on what date this image was 11 taken?
- 12 This is a frame taken from a video that had been shot on 13 April 19th, 2013.
- 14 Q. And who is shown in this photograph?
- A. Reza Zarrab. And the individual that is marked as the 15 quard of Mr. Reza Zarrab. I believe on that day that that 16 17 individual may be Yucel Ozcil, and then also an individual that
- is marked here as the driver of Mr. Reza Zarrab. 18
- 19 And where is this photograph taken?
- 20 In Ikitelli in Istanbul, at the Istanbul office at the 21 Ministry of European Union.
- 22 Q. And when this photograph was taken, or when this image was
- 23 taken, at that time, did you have an understanding of the
- 24 purpose of Mr. Zarrab's visit to the EU ministry?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- And on what types of evidence was that understanding based? 1
- 2 Α. Phone conversations.
- 3 MR. HARRISON: Objection, to form, your Honor. Calls
- 4 for hearsay.
- 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- Phone conversations. 6 Α.
- 7 Phone conversations from intercepts conducted in your
- 8 investigation?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And what was the purpose of Mr. Zarrab's visit?
- 11 Before this visit, he had talked to Abdullah Happani and
- 12 had instructed Happani to prepare \$500,000 in a shoebox to be
- 13 delivered in Ikitelli, and that this would be a payment about
- 14 Aktif Bank, which they in the organization referred to as
- "Active." 15
- 16 A payment to who? 0.
- 17 Not based on the phone conversation that I just referred
- 18 to, but based on other phone conversations, we understood that
- 19 to be Mr. Egemen Bagis.
- 20 Q. Remind us, who was Mr. Bagis at the time of the
- 21 investigation?
- 22 He was the minister of European Union during that time.
- 23 Objection, Judge. Move to strike. MR. HARRISON:
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 MR. LOCKARD: Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could please

show Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 9 --1 2 THE COURT: Excuse me. Could you spell his name 3 I missed it the last time. again? 4 THE WITNESS: (In English) E-d-e-m-e-n, B-a-g-i-s. THE COURT: And his title or his position, pursuant to 5 6 your investigation, was what on this day? 7 THE WITNESS: He was the minister of European Union, sir, during that time. 8 9 THE COURT: So that minister of European Union was a 10 Turkish citizen or a citizen of some other country? 11 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, the European ministry is a 12 ministry within the Turkish government, and he was serving in 13 that capacity, and he's a Turkish citizen. I also know that he 14 does have other citizenships, but as far as this ministry, this is a Turkish government ministry. 15 THE COURT: Very good. That was my question. Do you 16 17 want to take a five-minute break or wait until lunch? Take five minutes. 18 19 (Jury not present) 20 Okay. We'll see you in five. Counsel, is someone 21 going to show the witness out? 22 (Witness temporarily excused) 23 (Recess)

25

24

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: Counsel, you have an application? If so, we should do it at sidebar because we have the witness on the witness stand.

(At the sidebar)

MR. HARRISON: Judge, the defense is moving for a mistrial at this time based on what we believe to be the extreme prejudice that's coming from this witness, who is essentially testifying, as far as we can tell, to the contents of the police file. The police file --

THE COURT: The Turkish police file?

MR. HARRISON: Of which we only have partial stuff, which was stolen from Turkey.

THE COURT: How do you know that? How do you know it was stolen from Turkey?

MR. HARRISON: That's I believe what the witness is going to testify to.

THE COURT: How do you know that? You're making an application.

MR. HARRISON: We've seen it in 3500 material.

THE COURT: That it's stolen?

MR. HARRISON: Yes, Judge. He's essentially testifying to things that -- to recordings and things that have not been authenticated, have not been -- there has been no showing of chain of custody. He is essentially testifying as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

both a summary witness and as an opinion witness as to his opinion of what is contained in the police file. I don't believe he has any personal knowledge of the surveillance that he's talking about, of the seizures that he's talking about. think he testified he was a supervising officer who didn't do those things.

In addition to the fact that he's referred to our client as a suspect in a totally separate investigation. We think is overly prejudicial.

THE COURT: He referred to your client I think one occasion. That's the basis of the mistrial?

MR. HARRISON: All the things I just said.

THE COURT: But you also said they don't pertain to your client. Nevertheless, that is the basis for your mistrial, your client's mistrial?

MR. HARRISON: All of the things I said are the basis. There's been a reference to my client a couple of times as a suspect. And then there has been a description of all this other evidence that, frankly, we don't think is directly relevant to him. That's also prejudicial.

THE COURT: I don't think you moved on relevance. Mostly foundation and hearsay.

The motion for a mistrial is pretty serious stuff. You're going to have to put it in writing.

> MR. HARRISON: Okay.

```
THE COURT: And tell me when you want to do that by.
1
      In the meantime, I'm going to go forward.
 2
              MR. HARRISON: Okay. 9 o'clock this evening, Judge.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: Nine? Okay. And when is the government
5
      able to respond?
6
              MR. LOCKARD: I assume -- would the Court like it by
 7
      the morning or would it like it by --
               THE COURT: Do we think that the witness will still be
8
9
      testifying?
10
              MR. LOCKARD: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: Into tomorrow?
12
              MR. ROCCO: If I may. I think perhaps it might be
13
     better to do this at the end of the witness's direct testimony.
14
              THE COURT: Do what?
15
              MR. ROCCO: Make this formal application. We'll renew
      our application for a mistrial and perhaps do the submissions
16
17
      then. So we've heard at least what this witness has had to say
      on direct.
18
19
              THE COURT: You tell me when you want to make the
20
     motion.
21
              MR. ROCCO: We're happy to do it now. We thought it
22
     best --
23
               THE COURT: The writing. You don't have that
24
     available now, do you?
25
              MR. ROCCO: No.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: I want the motion in writing.

MR. ROCCO: What we're saying, your Honor, what I'm saying is perhaps -- and I'm only suggesting this to the Court. Mr. Harrison said we can do it by 9 o'clock this evening. That's fine. It may be better, since you are allowing the testimony to go forward, it may be better to do it at the end

MR. HARRISON: That's our request then.

MR. ROCCO: That would be our request.

THE COURT: Is what?

of the direct testimony.

MR. ROCCO: To make the formal motion in writing at the end of the witness's testimony.

THE COURT: When is that likely to be, in your estimation?

MR. LOCKARD: I think we're going to be interrupted this afternoon by the remainder of Mr. Cohen. Then we're going to be interrupted tomorrow morning by Mr. Szubin. So I expect this witness probably will finish up some time during the day on Wednesday.

THE COURT: With his direct?

MR. LOCKARD: Yes.

THE COURT: Giving them more time. So is that okay with you?

MR. ROCCO: That's fine.

MR. HARRISON: Yes, thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Why don't we, when it is finished, reconvene so to speak and set a date for both the moving brief and the opposition brief. How that's?

MR. ROCCO: That's fine. I don't think I'm going to be more than an hour this afternoon with Cohen. So you think you are going to put him back on the stand I assume, correct?

MR. LOCKARD: Mr. Korkmaz? We'll have time.

THE COURT: With respect to Cohen, I have no objection to meeting his schedule. I'm sure that's okay with you.

MR. ROCCO: Sure.

THE COURT: So when he shows up, we'll stop his direct, try and finish up with Mr. Cohen, and then bring him back. Depending on where we are on time for direct, or did you say Szubin?

MR. LOCKARD: We expect Mr. Cohen will be here after the lunch break, so we anticipate we'll finish out the morning with Mr. Korkmaz, start and finish Mr. Cohen after lunch, and we can resume with Mr. Korkmaz until tomorrow morning. As we had discussed last week, because of the end of the week scheduling problems, Mr. Szubin will start first thing tomorrow. And then when he concludes his testimony, we'll resume Mr. Korkmaz and go to the end of his direct.

THE COURT: I got it.

MR. LOCKARD: It is a little choppy.

THE COURT: That works. It was a little unclear to me

- 1 at first, but Szubin is the first witness tomorrow morning.
- 2 MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: We'll resume with the direct examination.
- 5 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, before we begin, I'd like to 6 remind you that you're still under oath.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 8 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 9 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, good afternoon.
- 10 A. You too as well.
- 11 | Q. Before the break we had been looking at a surveillance
- 12 | photograph of Mr. Zarrab. Just to clarify, are Reza Zarrab and
- 13 Riza Sarraf the same person?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. We've been looking at a surveillance photograph taken
- 16 during Mr. Zarrab's visit to the office of the Ministry of E.U.
- 17 Affairs.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 MR. LOCKARD: Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you can please
- 20 show Mr. Korkmaz Government Exhibit 971-79.
- 21 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize that image?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | 0. Is that taken from the same surveillance?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- MR. LOCKARD: The government offers 971-79 and ask

- 1 | that it be shown to the jury.
- 2 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 3 MR. HARRISON: Same objection.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 971-79 received in evidence)
 - Q. Mr. Korkmaz, since we did have the break in between, can you remind us on what date this is taken.
 - A. This is an image taken on 19th of April, 2013. If I may explain something that I saw in the image.
 - Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

14

- A. So in this image and in other surveillance images, there
 were times when the time or the date shown on the image may go
 off a bit. And the individuals who are at the surveillance
 would note this in the report as to what the actual date and
- MR. HARRISON: Objection. Move to strike based on hearsay, Judge.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.

time the images were taken.

- Q. What date did you receive the video that contained this image?
- 20 A. Same day.
- 21 | Q. That day being April 19, 2013?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us what's shown on the sign on the top of the building in the mid- to right-hand area of the image.
- 25 A. It says European Union, but what it says afterwards I can't

ATI4 Korkmaz - Direct

read, maybe because of the resolution of this image here. But it says European Union. But on the line below it, it does say in English Ministry and Europe.

MR. LOCKARD: Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you can please show Mr. Korkmaz Government's Exhibit 971-71.

- Q. Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize that image?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. How is it that you recognize this image?
- A. It is an image that was among the images that were delivered to me by the technical physical surveillance team that had obtained this image.
 - Q. Just to clarify, you've used the phrases "physical surveillance" and "technical surveillance." Can you explain the difference between those two things.
 - A. Certainly. The technical surveillance refers to images or audio or images and audio being obtained from an individual through use of technical devices. And this would be done in public places, and it would exclude office and home surveillance, inside the home surveillance. And it would be pursuant to the Article 140 of the penal code of the penal code, and it would be based on a court order each time. So, and again, it would be outside of home.

And the physical surveillance, on the other hand, does not require any court order, and it consists of the officer following the target and making reports about what he

- is observing without taking any imagery of the surveillance. 1
- I think you said what's shown before you as Government 2 Q.
- 3 Exhibit's 971-71 is from technical surveillance; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 Do you recognize where that image was taken?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Where was it taken? 8 Q.
- 9 This is the rear entrance, exit door of the business plaza
- 10 where Orient Bazaar is located which is in Nuruosmaniye, and
- 11 this is where Mr. Reza Zarrab's company is located. It's the
- 12 entrance and exit.
- 13 Do you recognize the individuals who are depicted? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 MR. LOCKARD: The government offers Exhibit 971-71 and
- 16 ask that it be published.
- 17 MR. HARRISON: I've objected on relevance a number of
- 18 times, but relevance is one of the grounds.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. I'll admit it.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 971-71 received in evidence)
- 21 Mr. Korkmaz, when was this image taken? Q.
- 22 I recall it to be September 13, 2013. Α.
- Who are the individuals who are shown? 23
- 24 The one on right-hand side with the bag in his hand is
- 25 Ozgur Ozdemir. And the individual on the left in white

3

5

6

7

8

9

Korkmaz - Direct

- 1 clothing is Husamettin Altinbas.
 - Q. Did you have an understanding from your investigation of the purpose of Mr. Altinbas and Mr. Ozdemir's visit to the
- 4 Orient Bazaar on that day?

MR. HARRISON: Objection to opinion and calls for hearsay, Judge.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. Yes.
- Q. On what types of evidence was your understanding based?
- 10 A. I'll say this for Ozgur Ozdemir, it was based on phone conversations.
- Q. In those conversations did Mr. Ozdemir say what his purpose was?
- MR. HARRISON: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. I don't know and I don't recall whether he had mentioned it in phone conversations on that day. But based on other phone conversations, to the best of my recollection, he had come in to receive a payment of about \$200,000.
 - Q. Based on those telephone conversations, did you have an understanding of who that payment was intended for?
- 22 A. Yes.

20

21

- MR. HARRISON: Objection to opinion and relevance,

 Judge.
- 25 THE COURT: Overruled.

- 1 Q. Who was the \$200,000 payment intended for?
- 2 | A. This payment and all other payments delivered through Ozgur
- 3 Ozdemir were payments being made to Muammer Guler through
- 4 | Muammer Guler's son.
- 5 Q. Can you remind us at the time of the investigation, who was
- 6 Muammer Guler?
- 7 A. Muammer Guler was the Minister of Interior during that
- 8 | time.
- 9 Q. What are the responsibilities of the Ministry of the
- 10 | Interior in Turkey?
- 11 | A. All the governorates that are within Turkey are under the
- 12 | Ministry of Interior. The Turkish National Police is also
- 13 under the Ministry of Interior. And the Office of Vital
- 14 Records and Citizenship is also under the Ministry of Interior.
- 15 \parallel Q. When you say that the Turkish National Police is under the
- 16 Minister of the Interior, does that include the financial
- 17 crimes unit in Istanbul where you were working and conducting
- 18 | this investigation?
- 19 A. Yes, we were staff of the Ministry of the Interior too.
- 20 MR. LOCKARD: Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you can please
- 21 | show for Mr. Korkmaz Government Exhibit 970-14.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Korkmaz, do you recognize that?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. How do you recognize that?
- 25 A. This is a frame that was taken from the video obtained by

- our teams on July 17 of 2013. And it is among the evidence that was in our investigation.
- Q. How soon after the surveillance date did you receive the image that's shown in Government's Exhibit 970-14?
- A. I recall that I saw it on that day. But I don't recall
 whether the reports and the CD pertaining to this were also
 submitted on the same day. It was my recollection that they
 were provided a few days later, and I recall viewing it again
- 9 when those were turned in.
- 10 | Q. Do you recognize the location shown in this image?
- 11 A. This is an area that I know really well.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize the individual who is shown in the image?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Who is the individual shown?
- 15 A. Ahmet Murat Ozis.
- MR. LOCKARD: The government offers Government Exhibit
 970-14.
- 18 MR. HARRISON: Same objection.
- 19 | THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 970-14 received in evidence)
- Q. Mr. Korkmaz, you said that you recognize the location where this image is?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Where is that?
- 25 A. This is in Fatih, Istanbul. And it and is within 50 to

70 meters of my old home. It is on the inside section of Vatan 1 Avenue, and it's in close proximity to my former home. 2

- What, if any, business is located in that area?
- The provincial police station complex that also houses the 4 Α.
- 5 financial crimes unit where I worked is also located in this
- 6 area. It is approximately 200 to 250 meters from this
- 7 location.

3

- Q. What business is located in the entrance that's shown in 8
- 9 this photograph or this image?
- 10 This is the entrance to the building that houses the Turgev
- 11 Foundation.
- 12 Generally speaking, what is the Turgev Foundation?
- 13 I don't know what it stands for. But I believe it's Α.
- 14 something to the effect of Turkey and youth, and the previous
- 15 acronym for it was Isgev, and Isgev, to the best of my
- knowledge, was a foundation that was established by then-mayor 16
- Mr. Recep Tayyip Erdogan in the '90s. In between 2010 and 17
- 18 2012, the name was changed to Turgev. And during that time,
- Bilal Erdogan was the administrator for the foundation. 19 That's
- 20 all I know about it.
- 21 In July of 2013, what, if any, relationship between Turgev
- 22 and any of the individuals whose conduct was involved in your
- 23 investigation.
- 24 MR. HARRISON: Objection. Calls for speculation, your
- 25 Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Korkmaz - Direct

THE COURT: Overruled.

- So, during the time frame after July, while the gold ban was in effect, in terms of bringing back the method of gold export again, Reza Zarrab had utilized this relation in order to bring that method back with Mr. Suleyman Aslan.
- What, if any, affiliation was there between Turgev Foundation and any of the individuals whose conduct was within the scope of your investigation?
- MR. HARRISON: Objection to form, relevance, calls for speculation.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 A. Excuse me but I did not understand your question fully.
- 13 Could you --
- 14 Q. I'll ask it a different way.
- 15 You said that Bilal Erdogan was the administrator of the foundation in 2012? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- Who was the administrator of the foundation in 2013? 18
- Salih Koc. 19 Α.
- 20 Based on your participation in the investigation, did you
- 21 have an understanding of the purpose of Mr. Ozis's visit to
- 22 Turgev in mid July of 2013?
- 23 MR. HARRISON: Objection. I'm waiting for the
- 24 interpreter to go before making my objection. I don't want to
- 25 talk over him.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Korkmaz - Direct

1 THE COURT: That's a good idea.

MR. HARRISON: Objection to relevance and calls for

hearsay, your Honor, and speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Α. Yes.
- What kinds of evidence was your understanding about
- 7 Mr. Ozis's purpose was that based?
- Based on phone conversations and based on additional 8 9 evidence that we were hoping to find based on the operation.
 - Q. Focusing on the intercepted telephone conversations that you are aware of in July of 2013. What did those conversations show about the purpose of Mr. Ozis's visit to Turgev?
 - MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor. Calls for speculation, lack of foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- In 2014, excuse me, on July 14 of 2013, Zafer Caglayan and Reza Zarrab held a meeting on an airplane in order to discuss two matters. Based on other phone conversations, these two matters were the money to be delivered to Turgev to be given to Bilal Erdogan, and also the restart of gold trade in this time frame, after July, while the gold ban was in effect.
- Q. So how does that relate to Mr. Ozis's visit to Turgev? MR. HARRISON: Same objection, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Keep going. Overruled.
- 25 Α. Reza --

MR. HARRISON: Before he answers, I'm going to object. 1 THE COURT: I thought you were going to wait for the 2 3 interpretation. 4 MR. HARRISON: I was going to wait until the 5 interpretation went to the witness first and then I was going 6 to object. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. HARRISON: For the reasons I've objected before, 8 9 Judge, based on relevance and lack of foundation. 10 THE COURT: You can't have the whole potpourri of the 11 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. You've got to have 12 specific objections for each question. And what is your 13 specific objection to this question? 14 MR. HARRISON: I do have those for this question. THE COURT: You do have which? 15 16 MR. HARRISON: Sure. In addition --17 THE COURT: I mean, in addition to what? What is the 18 basis for the objection? MR. HARRISON: I believe he's testified based on 19 20 recordings that we do not have. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. So we're going to 22 take our lunch break. And we'll pick up -- it is about five to 23 1. We'll pick up at 2 o'clock. And we'll see you then. 24 (Jury excused) 25 (Continued on next page)

HCB3ATT4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: If counsel could remain. Please be The others are welcome to stay if they'd like or not. seated. MR. LOCKARD: Should we excuse the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Witness temporarily excused)

THE COURT: We're going to take a two-minute break and then I will have something to discuss with you.

(Recess)

(In open court; jury not present)

THE COURT: As counsel is aware, and this is primarily directed at the government. During one of the sidebars, defense counsel have indicated their intention at the close of the direct examination of this witness of moving for a mistrial on several bases which include, one -- there may be more, but these are the ones that I remember. The relevance of this testimony to Mr. Atilla, and also, well, you've heard the other complaints by defense counsel.

My principal concern has to do with the relationship to Mr. Atilla's case and also knowing in a little bit more detail where this information came from, literally, and relatedly, where it was utilized.

So by that I mean, were these documents or photos, this evidence, were they actually admitted in a Turkish proceeding? And if so, does this witness know about that? And

if there are tape recordings, the witness mentioned that there were court orders secured in advance of wiretaps. We would have to know a little bit more about that. And we'd have to know a little bit more -- I'm not sure how significant this is -- but how these materials wind up in this court. We should hear a little bit more about that.

So, before we continue with further testimony, I think that these issues need to be addressed through the witness. If you need to or want to make a proffer before he resumes the witness stand, that's fine. If not, we can just bring these issues out before he continues his testimony.

MR. LOCKARD: Sure. And your Honor, you've actually -- I don't think you're reading the outline of the testimony, but that's exactly where we're headed next.

So, I think the witness has been describing the investigation, and this is partly in response to certain arguments that were made in opening statements about the nature of this evidence and the nature of this witness.

The witness is going to go on to describe I think in very short order how the investigation concluded, how he obtained copies of the evidence that we've been talking about and will continue to talk about, and this is laying the groundwork for how it is that the investigation concluded the way that it did and how it is —

THE COURT: This is the investigation in Turkey?

MR. LOCKARD: That's correct, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: I would start, though, before we resume 3 testimony, with the positioning or the relevance of this information to the case brought against Mr. Atilla. 4 5 MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor. I think he sort of broadly described how these payments relate to the Iranian oil 6 7 proceeds. And that's what we're going to focus in throughout the rest of the testimony. 8 9 THE COURT: My other understanding is that when we 10 resume after the lunch break it will be with Mr. Cohen, is that right? Is he on the premises so to speak? 11 MR. LOCKARD: I think he's not quite on the premises, 12 13 but we expect him to be on the premises within the hour. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, we'll see you at 15 2 o'clock. 16 (Luncheon Recess) 17 (Continued on next page) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Cohen - Cross

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2:08 P.M. 2 3 (In open court; jury present) 4 THE COURT: So be seated, everybody. We have 5 Mr. Cohen back to conclude his examination. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, before we continue, I'd like 6 7 to remind you that you're still under oath. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 9 THE COURT: We will continue with the 10 cross-examination by Mr. Rocco. 11 MR. ROCCO: May I proceed, your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 DAVID COHEN, 14 called as a witness by the Government, 15 having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED 17 BY MR. ROCCO: Mr. Cohen, good afternoon. 18 Good afternoon. 19 Α. 20 O. Welcome back. 21 Α. Thank you. 22 Q. So, Mr. Cohen, you met with representatives of the U.S. 23 Attorney's Office in preparation for your testimony in this

24

25

Α.

case; am I right?

That's correct.

5

And how often did you meet with them?

- I would say about a half dozen times. 2 Α.
- 3 And in that half-dozen times, where did you meet with them? 0.
- I met with them in Washington, D.C. and here in New York. 4 Α.
 - Okay. And where, at your office in Washington, D.C.? Q.
- At the U.S. Attorney's Office in Washington. 6 Α.
- 7 And at the U.S. Attorney's Office here in New York; am I
- 8 correct?
- 9 Correct. Α.
- 10 How long did these meetings take, on average?
- 11 The first couple of meetings were probably two hours long
- 12 or so, in that vicinity, one to two hours each one.
- 13 I'm sorry, did you say there was a half-dozen meetings,
- 14 six?
- That's about it, yes. 15 Α.
- And at those six meetings, you were shown documents? 16
- 17 I was. Α.
- 18 And the documents you were shown during those meetings,
- include the documents that you have been shown here during your 19
- 20 testimony on direct examination?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 And so when you were asked, had you reviewed those
- 23 documents, you were referring to the times that you reviewed
- 24 the documents with the prosecutors in connection with your
- 25 pretrial preparation; am I correct?

- I'm sorry, Mr. Rocco, when I was asked by whom? 1
- When you were on the stand and you were asked whether you 2 Q.
- 3 had reviewed the documents --
- 4 Right. Α.
- 5 -- you answered the question, as I recall, that you had?
- Sorry, I didn't understand your question. 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 I'm referring to the fact that you had -- are you saying
- that you viewed those documents in preparation for your 8
- 9 testimony here?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Ο. Correct?
- 12 Α. Yes; uh-huh.
- 13 Now, it is correct, is it not, Mr. Cohen, that you and I Ο.
- 14 have never met before?
- 15 It is correct that I made the offer to meet with you twice
- 16 and you declined.
- 17 Q. You did, and I thank you for that opportunity. I wasn't
- 18 accusing of you of refusing to meet with me. That wasn't my
- question. My question was, you and I had not met before, 19
- 20 right?
- 21 A. No, we had not. I had made myself available twice to meet
- 22 with you.
- 23 Q. Yes, I understand that.
- 24 THE COURT: We got it. The answer is no.
- 25 I think we got it. MR. ROCCO:

HCBPATI5

1 THE COURT: Right?

2 MR. ROCCO: Yes, the answer is no.

3 BY MR. ROCCO:

- Q. And I didn't have the opportunity to review with you 4
- 5 documents that --
- You did have the opportunity. You didn't take it. 6
- 7 Excuse me, if I may? Q.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Let me finish the question, you can --Q.
- 10 Α. Sure.
- 11 But you and I did not review documents before you
- testified; is that correct? 12
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. That was my only point. So now, when we broke last, we
- were talking about Reza Zarrab. You testified, I believe, that 15
- you had heard at some point that Mr. Zarrab was an important 16
- 17 gold trader --
- 18 THE COURT: Hold on one second. I think your client
- is having trouble with the sound system. 19
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor?
- 21 THE COURT: Do you need another one?
- 22 THE INTERPRETER: We need new batteries.
- 23 THE COURT: Oh, okay.
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 Okay.

Cohen - Cross

- 1 MR. ROCCO: Thank you, your Honor.
- THE COURT: 2 We're good.
- 3 BY MR. ROCCO:
- 4 I believe you had testified that you had heard that
- 5 Mr. Zarrab was an important gold trader; am I correct?
- That's right. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. And am I correct that you heard that sometime in or before
- 2013? 8
- 9 I think that is correct.
- 10 And do you recall -- and you also testified to the fact
- 11 that you had discussed Mr. Zarrab at a meeting at Halkbank
- 12 sometime in the spring of 2013; am I correct?
- 13 That is my best recollection. Α.
- 14 And do you recall the date that you discussed -- had this
- discussion with Mr. Atilla? 15
- A. So I believe I only met with Halkbank once in the spring of 16
- 17 2013. I think it was the end of February of 2013; so it would
- 18 have been at that meeting.
- 19 And that meeting took place in Istanbul? Q.
- 20 Either in Istanbul or Ankara but I don't recall. Α.
- 21 In any event, it was in Turkey? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 So can we bring up 3505-006 for Mr. Cohen. I think this is
- 24 a document that was shown to you on direct examination.
- 25 would direct your attention to the date of the document, and

Cohen - Cross

- 1 | then in the body of the document there's a date, and I'm going
- 2 | to ask you if that date refreshes your recollection as to the
- 3 date that you met with Mr. Atilla or with representatives of
- 4 | Halkbank?
- 5 A. This is the meeting I was referring to.
- 6 Q. Okay. And does it refresh your recollection that the
- 7 | meeting occurred on February 28th, 2013?
- 8 A. My recollection is that it was in the early-ish spring of
- 9 2013; so it seems likely that's the right date.
- 10 Q. Close enough.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And that's -- by the way, that's a document that you were
- 13 | shown on direct examination, if I recall?
- 14 A. I think that's right.
- 15 | Q. And I think you said that that meeting looked like it
- 16 | lasted more than your -- longer than your average meeting?
- 17 | A. Yeah, my recollection is this meeting was at least an hour
- 18 long.
- 19 Q. And just take a moment, if you will, I know you recently
- 20 | reviewed the document, but tell us, if you take a moment and
- 21 | look at it, if there's anything in the document that refreshes
- 22 your recollection as to the substance of what was discussed in
- 23 | your meeting regarding Mr. Zarrab?
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 A. Can we flip to the next page.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sure. Please keep scrolling.

Does that document help contextualize your comments about -- and what was discussed, if anything, about Mr. Zarrab at that meeting?

So my recollection is in the course of this meeting, when we were discussing gold trade with Iran and discussing the fact that the July to come, or the July of 2013, that the sanctions would change and it would be, at that point, sanctionable to trade gold with Iran altogether.

And in the course of that conversation, I discussed the fact that there had been a significant increase in the amount of gold that was being exported from Turkey to Iran. And I think in the course of that conversation is where the -as best I recall, Mr. Zarrab came up in the course of that conversation.

- And do you remember what was said about Mr. Zarrab, by either you or Mr. Atilla, at that meeting?
- A. So I don't recall whether I brought him up or whether Mr. Atilla brought him up, but I think the substance of the conversation was that there was a significant gold trader, Mr. Zarrab, that, as was reflected in this document and as I recall, that they had -- they, Halkbank, had in place the mechanisms to ensure that that trade was, at that point, permissible gold trade to private Iranian purchasers, not to the government of Iran; and that one of the reasons that they

Cohen - Cross

- were comfortable with that was that they knew Mr. Zarrab's 1 business and knew with whom he was trading. 2
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you recall anything else about Mr. Zarrab?
- 4 Did you, in that conversation, tell Mr. Atilla that Mr. Zarrab
- 5 was under investigation by any United States authorities?
- I don't believe so. 6 Α.
- 7 Did you tell Mr. Zarrab that Halkbank should not be dealing
- with Mr. -- I'm sorry, Mr. Atilla, did you tell Mr. Atilla that 8
- 9 Halkbank shouldn't be dealing with Mr. Zarrab?
- 10 Α. No.
- 11 Did you tell Mr. Atilla in that meeting that Halkbank
- 12 should be careful of its dealings with Mr. Zarrab --
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 -- particularly? Particularly, did you say that about
- Mr. Zarrab? 15
- A. So I think as I testified last Friday, I don't recall the 16
- 17 precise words that I used, but the tenor of that conversation,
- 18 I'm sure, was that they needed to be careful of Mr. Zarrab, as
- well as anyone else who they were -- who was a customer of 19
- 20 Halkbank who was selling gold to Iran.
- 21 Q. Let's move on. You say that you were in Istanbul on
- 22 December 17th, 2013; am I correct?
- 23 Α. I believe that's the date.
- 24 And that you had a scheduled meeting with Suleyman Aslan at
- 25 Halkbank; am I correct?

- Cohen Cross
- I believe that's correct. 1 Α.
- And I think that you testified that Mr. Aslan was arrested 2 Q.
- 3 that day; am I correct?
- Α. Yes. 4
- 5 Q. And that because he was arrested and because you were stuck
- in traffic, the meeting was canceled? 6
- 7 A. More the traffic. I mean, I don't recall actually if it
- was the day before or the day of that I arrived that the arrest 8
- 9 occurred, but in any event, my planned meetings with Halkbank,
- 10 as well as I think others in the Turkish private sector, were
- 11 canceled because I was stuck in traffic getting in from the
- 12 airport.
- 13 Q. And do you remember when you learned that Mr. Aslan was
- 14 arrested?
- 15 Α. Not precisely.
- And do you remember what he was arrested for? 16
- 17 I remember he was arrested as part of a corruption sweep,
- 18 essentially, that occurred and that he was arrested at home
- 19 with at least the reports that I read, public media reports,
- 20 where he was arrested at home with shoeboxes full of cash.
- Q. And were these reports that you were reading at or about 21
- 22 the time that Mr. Aslan had been arrested?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Did you learn that Mr. Zarrab had been arrested with
- 25 Mr. Aslan?

- Α. I believe so.
- Did you learn that at the time that you learned of 2 Q.
- 3 Mr. Aslan's arrest, or did you learn that subsequently?
- I believe I learned it at the same time, although, my -- I 4
- 5 was more focused on Mr. Aslan than Mr. Zarrab because that was
- 6 with whom I was planning to meet.
- 7 Did you discuss with anyone at Treasury Mr. Aslan's arrest?
- I'm sure I did. 8 Α.
- 9 Do you have a recollection of who that was with?
- 10 I don't precisely. The folks who were traveling with me,
- 11 I'm sure I talked to them about it. I think one of my senior
- 12 advisors was with me.
- 13 So it's fair to say that you learned of the arrests while 0.
- 14 you were still abroad, while you were still in Turkey?
- A. Yeah, I actually don't know if I learned about the arrests 15
- before I arrived in Turkey or after I arrived in Turkey, but I 16
- 17 was definitely abroad when I learned of it.
- 18 Subsequent to the arrest -- or learning of the fact that
- Mr. Aslan was arrested, in the days or weeks that follow, were 19
- 20 you or, to the best of your knowledge, anybody at Treasury, in
- 21 touch with Halkbank to find out what had happened?
- 22 I was not, and I imagine others at Treasury were, but I was
- 23 not.
- 24 You imagine? Ο.
- 25 I don't know for sure. Α.

- Cohen Cross
- 1 Okay. And do you have a recollection of ever discussing it 2 with anyone?
- 3 Discussing why Mr. Aslan was arrested?
- 4 Just the fact of the arrest and contacting Halkbank as 0. 5 a result of the arrest. And I'm limiting my time period here 6 to the immediate aftermath of the arrest, within a couple of
- 7 weeks or a month or so.
- A. Yeah, my recollection, Mr. Rocco, because my trip to Turkey 8
- 9 was aborted, and I didn't get to do the meetings that I was
- 10 planning to do, that early in the next year we had tried to
- 11 essentially reschedule the trip to Turkey. And one of the
- 12 things that I wanted to do on that trip was to meet with the
- 13 new CEO of Halkbank. So I know there was communications that I
- 14 had with my staff and with the embassy in Ankara to tie to set
- 15 that up. So in that context, I think there were conversations
- about Mr. Aslan's arrest and who was succeeding him at 16
- 17 Halkbank.
- 18 Q. To the best of your recollection, when were you next in
- touch with anyone at Halkbank? 19
- 20 I don't believe that I was next in touch with anyone at
- 21 Halkbank -- that was December '13 -- until the fall of '14.
- 22 Q. And in the fall of '14 you had a meeting with the new
- 23 general manager?
- 24 Α. Correct.

Am I correct? And this was at your office here in DC, Q.

1 correct?

- 2 That's right. Α.
- 3 Q. And with the new general manager was Mr. Atilla; am I
- 4 correct?
- 5 No, the new general manager --
- 6 No, with the new general manager. 0.
- 7 Yes. Was Mr. Atilla? Yes.
- And Mr. Atilla, if I recall your direct examination, served 8
- 9 as an interpreter; am I correct, or at least helped
- 10 translate --
- 11 Α. Right.
- 12 -- some conversation with the new general manager?
- 13 A. Right. A portion of that meeting, where I was trying to
- 14 converse with the new general manager, Mr. Atilla served as his
- 15 translator.
- I'm going to ask to bring up Defendant's Exhibit 212, and 16
- I'm going to ask you to look at that document, read it to 17
- yourself, if you will, Mr. Cohen. 18
- A. Mmm, hmm. 19
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 Tell us when you want us to scroll to the second page.
- 22 It's up.
- 23 A. Yes, thank you.
- 24 (Pause)
- 25 Okay.

5

- Cohen Cross
- That's an e-mail summary prepared by someone on my staff of 2 Α.
- 3 my meeting with Mr. Atilla and the new general manager and a

And can you tell us what that document is?

- 4 third person from Halk.
 - Have you reviewed that document before?
- I have. 6 Α.
- 7 Is that document accurate? Does it accurately reflect what
- happened at that meeting? 8
- 9 It's consistent with my recollection.
- 10 MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 212
- 11 in evidence.
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 (Defendant's Exhibit 212 received in evidence)
- 14 BY MR. ROCCO:
- 15 Q. Now, let's talk about the document, if we can, and go
- 16 through it with the jury.
- 17 MR. ROCCO: Can we bring it up for the jury.
- Mr. White? 18
- 19 THE COURT: How about making it a little bigger?
- 20 I have my glasses on. MR. ROCCO:
- 21 THE COURT: I'm at that age, Mr. Rocco, do you know
- 22 what I mean?
- 23 Indeed, I do, your Honor. MR. ROCCO:
- 24 THE COURT: Can we get it bigger?
- 25 Oh, to be young, Mr. White. You can't do MR. ROCCO:

better than that?

THE COURT: I was going to say that.

3 Is there a certain paragraph you want to MR. WHITE:

read?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

MR. ROCCO: Sure. Let's go down to the last paragraph on the page. That makes it easier. Excellent.

BY MR. ROCCO:

- Q. So now directing your attention to what, in effect, is the last paragraph on that page, starting U/S Cohen?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 It says that you noted that Mr. Zarrab transacted 12 essentially business through Halkbank, and in the first line 13 there's a reference to Mr. Zarrab having been accused of

leading efforts to evade sanctions on Iran; am I correct?

- 15 Α. That's what it says.
- Q. And can you tell me, is that what you said during that 16 17 meeting?
- A. So just to be clear, I didn't write this document. It was 18 19 written by Michael Lieberman, who worked for me, and so far as 20 I know, I never edit -- I didn't edit it or review it before he 21 sent it out. I don't have any doubt that I said something 22 along those lines, but I don't know that I said precisely that. 23 So I just want to be precise.
- 24 Okay. So give me sum and substance of what you recall 25 say --

- Α. So -- I'm sorry.
- Perhaps I can make it a little easier, at least try to make 2 Q.
- 3 it a little easier. Do you recall if, during the meeting --
- 4 does this refresh your recollection as to who brought up
- 5 Mr. Zarrab? It would appear that you did --
- 6 Α. Yeah.
- 7 -- because it says "U/S Cohen noted that Iranian national"?
- Right; so my recollection is I brought up Zarrab. I don't 8
- 9 know that I -- precisely how I phrased it. Although, I'm not
- 10 going to dispute the way that Mr. Lieberman described it here,
- 11 but I brought up Mr. Zarrab, expressed concern that he had been
- 12 accused of being -- violating the Iran sanctions, that he had
- 13 done so through Halkbank.
- 14 And I was interested in learning from Mr. Atilla and
- 15 from the new general manager whatever they were prepared to
- share with me about Mr. Zarrab's activity. 16
- 17 What I want to direct your attention to is the word
- "accused." Because, can you tell me, at that point, who had 18
- accused Mr. Zarrab of efforts to evade sanctions? 19
- 20 I don't know anyone who had accused him at that point. Α.
- 21 And do you recall whether you said that or not? Q.
- 22 I suspect I did not use the word "accused."
- 23 And it's fair to say that, to your knowledge, as of the
- 24 date of this meeting, Mr. Zarrab had not been charged with
- 25 evading sanctions by any -- at least here in the United States;

- am I correct?
- I think that's correct. 2 Α.
- 3 And certainly, he hadn't been charged in this case; am I
- 4 correct?

9

- 5 Yes, I believe that's right.
- And I think it would be a little bit unorthodox for Turkey 6 0.
- 7 to charge him with your sanctions, correct?
- I don't know whether Turkey would --8
 - I'll ask the correct question differently. Ο.
- 10 To your knowledge, at that point, had Turkey charged
- 11 Mr. Zarrab with violating your sanctions?
- 12 I don't know. I don't think so. You know, I think he had
- 13 been accused in Turkey of some -- or at least investigated in
- 14 Turkey related to the corruption investigation. I don't know
- if he had been formally charged, and I don't know what the 15
- charges were, if there were. 16
- 17 So it's fair to say that this memorandum is inaccurate, at
- 18 least in terms of recounting whether Mr. Zarrab had been
- accused of leading efforts to evade sanctions? 19
- 20 I think Mr. Lieberman might have been referring to press
- 21 reports about Mr. Zarrab and using the word "accused" a little
- 22 loosely there, but as a matter of U.S. accusations, U.S.
- 23 charges, I think it's correct that he had not been charged with
- 24 a crime here in the United States.
- 25 Thank you. Let's go on. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And you used the phrase "facilitated trade for Halkbank." Can you tell us what you meant by that term "facilitated trade"?

- I don't see that, Mr. Rocco. Α.
- Q. You have to go back.

Mr. White, can we go back to the earlier. I got it. Do you see at the end -- it's the following page. I'm sorry. I got ahead of myself.

A. I believe that is recounting what -- I'm sorry to make you do this, but I think the sentence is recounting what Halkbank representatives said to me. If you wouldn't mind going back to the preceding page. It says: Atilla responded that Zarrab received a loan from Halk to finance the acquisition and redesign of a building in tourist district, as well as financing loan to lease a plane, Halk also dealt with Zarrab to facilitate foreign trade.

Q. Well, it's not clear to me. Perhaps it's clear to you. The words "Halk also dealt with Zarrab to facilitate foreign trade, " you're saying that's something Mr. Atilla said, to the best of your recollection, correct?

- Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. What did you understand Mr. Atilla to be saying in that 23 regard?
- 24 That Halk had been the trade finance bank, essentially, for 25 Zarrab in his export business to Iran, and I'm sure we were

Cohen - Cross

- talking about gold at this point. 1
- That was going to be my next question; so thank you. 2 Q.
- 3 you think that this discussion is in the context of gold trade;
- 4 am I correct?
- 5 I believe so. Α.
- 6 And the memo goes on to say that -- I'm sorry, you
- 7 asked earlier whether Halkbank continued to deal with
- Mr. Zarrab, and in response Mr. Atilla told you, in the 8
- 9 following respects; am I correct? And that includes a loan, a
- 10 financing loan, and it also included dealing with Mr. Zarrab to
- 11 facilitate foreign trade. And you're saying that the foreign
- trade, as you recall it, referred to gold trade? 12
- 13 Α. Correct.
- 14 And did you have -- was there any discussion about the
- volume of gold trade at that point? 15
- Not that I recall. 16
- 17 Now, the conversation goes on to say: "Mr. Atilla noted
- 18 that Zarrab was not on the U.S. Specially Designated Nationals
- List," and what was that in response to? Was that something --19
- 20 that wasn't something that Mr. Atilla volunteered, is it?
- 21 Yes, it is, as I recall. Α.
- 22 It is? There was nothing in the conversation to that
- 23 point, to the best of your recollection, that suggested that
- 24 Halkbank should not be dealing with Mr. Zarrab?
- 25 So as I recall the conversation, and this is a summary of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

the conversation, I raised the question of Zarrab and what Halkbank's business was with Zarrab. Mr. Atilla explained that they had this loan for the building, for the airplane, and as well as facilitating foreign trade.

And then Mr. Atilla said that Zarrab's not on the Specially Designated Nationals List. That's the list of people who have been sanctioned by the Treasury Department. And as I recall in the conversation, said essentially, what do you want us to do; you haven't imposed sanctions on him, so how can we not do business with him? So that was the -- as I recall, what the conversation essentially centered around.

- And your response -- well, was it your understanding that Mr. Atilla was asking you to add Mr. Zarrab to the Specially Designated Persons List? Because in the earlier -- in the immediately preceding unredacted sentence --
- Α. Mmm, hmm.
 - -- it seems Mr. Atilla says: "And that, as a result, there was not much that Halk could do"?
- 19 Α. Right.
- 20 So was he, in that conversation, asking you to add
- 21 Mr. Zarrab to the Specially Designated Nationals List?
- 22 That's not how I understood it. I think what I understood 23 was that he was saying he's not on the Specially Designated 24 Nationals List. If he were on that list, that's the list of
- 25 people who have been sanctioned, they would not have done

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

business with him.

But what I took from this was that Mr. Atilla's tune had changed with respect to Mr. Zarrab, which was to say, gee, if you have designated Zarrab, then we wouldn't do business with him, but otherwise, there's nothing we can do. Whereas, in my previous meetings with Mr. Atilla, he had said, we understand U.S. sanctions. We intend to comply with U.S. sanctions, and we will not allow anyone, including Mr. Zarrab, who's violating U.S. sanctions to make use of Halkbank's facilitation of trade.

- Well, at this point, Mr. Zarrab was under investigation by the United States; am I correct? This is October 2014.
- 13 By the Treasury Department or by the Justice Department? Α.
- 14 Q. By --
- 15 Α. I have no --
- We'll start with the Treasury Department? 16
- 17 I assume so; although, I don't have a specific recollection
- 18 that he was. But I can't imagine that he wouldn't have been.
- I'm sorry, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but 19
- 20 do you recall testifying on direct that as early as 2013, your
- 21 meeting with Halkbank in February of 2013, that you thought
- 22 Mr. Zarrab was under investigation at that point?
- 23 Α. I don't think that's what I testified.
- 24 So tell me --Ο.
- 25 Α. Yeah.

2

3

Q. -- do you recall him being under investigation at the time that you met with Halkbank in February of 2013?

A. So I don't -- I don't specifically know, and I don't know

- 4 whether he was under investigation in the sense that OFAC would
- 5 have opened a formal case with respect to Mr. Zarrab. It seems
- 6 likely that Mr. Zarrab was a significant enough player in the
- 7 gold trade with Iran that, at that point, someone in OFAC, I
- imagine, was focused on Mr. Zarrab. Whether that constituted a 8
- 9 formal investigation or not, I just don't know. And, likewise,
- 10 by -- this meeting was in October of '14.
- O. October 2014? 11
- Yeah, by October 2014, as I said, I can't imagine that he 12
- 13 wasn't under investigation. I was not, you know, monitoring
- 14 OFAC's investigations.
- 15 Q. Well, let's go back. Let's go to the next sentence in the
- memorandum: "U/S Cohen noted, however, that Treasury did need 16
- 17 to investigate Zarrab's activities." Do you remember saying
- 18 that?
- 19 I think the whole purpose of this line of inquiry in this
- 20 meeting was we were interested in learning more about
- 21 Mr. Zarrab --
- 22 Q. Excuse me, Mr. Cohen. Sorry. My question was, do you
- 23 recall saying that Treasury did need to investigate Zarrab's
- 24 activities, yes or no?
- 25 So I don't recall saying that verbatim, Mr. Rocco. I think

Cohen - Cross

- 1 what I told Mr. Atilla and his new general manager was that we
- 2 needed to learn as much as we could about Mr. Zarrab. Whether
- 3 | I used the word "investigate" or not, I don't know. I doubt it
- 4 | because my job wasn't to be an investigator.
- 5 | Q. And, again, this is a memo that you say was written by Mike
- 6 | Lieberman; am I correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. It's a memo that you didn't review at the time; am I
- 9 | correct?
- 10 | A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. And you're saying that the memo is inaccurate?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Imprecise?
- 14 A. I'm saying it's a summary of the conversation.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And to the extent that -- you don't have any
- 16 recollection of saying that Treasury needed to investigate
- 17 Mr. Zarrab's activities, in those words; am I correct?
- 18 A. I don't have a recollection saying those precise words,
- 19 | that's correct.
- 20 \ Q. And you also -- again, in this summary of conversation,
- 21 | says that you asked Halk if it could provide any information;
- 22 am I correct?
- 23 A. Yes, I'm sure I did.
- 24 | Q. Do you recall making that request?
- 25 A. Yes.

Cohen - Cross

- Do you recall that, saying that, asking if Halk could 1
- provide you with any information; am I correct? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- And the response you got from that is that, apparently -- I 4 Q.
- 5 won't even try to pronounce the name, Taskinoglu -- I'll call
- him "Mr. T" -- Mr. T replied that Turkish regulations prevent 6
- 7 such information sharing, especially in these days.
- recall Mr. T saying that to you? 8
- 9 In substance, yes. Α.
- 10 Yes. And did the Treasury Department ever follow up and
- 11 request any information from Halkbank about Reza Zarrab?
- 12 Α. I don't know.
- 13 So is it fair to say, to your knowledge, it did not?
- 14 I don't -- again, I don't have personal knowledge of that.
- 15 I just don't know.
- Do you have a recollection that during this discussion with 16
- 17 the new general manager of the bank and Mr. Atilla, having a
- discussion about ISIS? 18
- 19 MR. LOCKARD: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: I'll allow it, if you remember.
- 21 I suspect we did, yeah. Α.
- 22 You expect we did? Q.
- 23 Α. Yeah.
- 24 But that's not reflected in the memorandum, correct? Ο.
- 25 So there's aspects of this memorandum that are redacted. Α.

Cohen - Cross

- 1 That's true. Can you tell us why the portions of the memorandum were redacted? 2
- MR. LOCKARD: Objection, your Honor. 3
 - Sustained. THE COURT:
- I asked him why he --5 MR. ROCCO:
- THE COURT: 6 Sustained.
- 7 MR. ROCCO: Thank you.
- 8 BY MR. ROCCO:

4

- Q. You testified last week about your standard presentation.
- 10 You referred to something --
- 11 I'm sorry, I missed that. My what presentation?
- 12 Your standard presentation.
- 13 Standard. Yes, I'm with you. Thank you. Α.
- 14 And can you tell us what your standard presentation was?
- 15 Was it a document? Was it a power point? You're shaking your
- 16 The reporter needs --
- 17 I'm waiting for you to finish your question.
- A first. Was it a written statement? 18 0.
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Was it a power point presentation? 0.
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Was it ever committed to writing? 0.
- 23 Not in -- not something that's labeled David Cohen's
- 24 standard presentation. I had talking points that were prepared
- 25 for these various meetings that would sort of lay out, usually,

Cohen - Cross

- the -- in specifics what I was going to cover. They were 1
- sometimes followed, sometimes not, and there may have been some 2
- 3 reference to sort of my general schpeel in those talking
- points, but I didn't have a document that I handed over. 4
- 5 Q. You used the word, and I'm sorry if I'm misquoting you,
- 6 these presentations or these situations, what do you mean by
- 7 that?
- A. Meetings with foreign banks or foreign regulators or 8
- 9 foreign government officials.
- 10 So essentially it was a template of something that you
- 11 would use for your remarks when you met with foreign --
- 12 representatives of foreign banks?
- 13 Α. Right.
- 14 And that would change from time to time because the
- sanctions changed from time to time; am I correct? 15
- Some of the details of the sanctions changed from time to 16
- 17 The sort of the foundation of them didn't.
- Q. Okay. So, to your knowledge, did you or anyone from 18
- 19 Treasury ever tell Halkbank to stop trading gold?
- 20 To outright stop trading gold? Α.
- 21 To outright stop trading gold. Q.
- 22 Α. With Iran?
- 23 To outright stop trading gold.
- 24 I think we certainly told them that, post July 2013, that Α.
- 25 any sale of gold to Iran, to anybody in Iran, was potentially

- 1 sanctionable.
- But prior to July 2013, there were private sales that were 2
- 3 allowed; am I correct?
- Α. Correct. 4
- 5 Q. As long -- as of February of 2013, Halkbank abided with the
- bilateral trade restrictions; am I correct? 6
- 7 That is correct.
- And, in fact, up until July 1st of 2017 -- 2013, no one had 8
- 9 told Halkbank that it could not intermediate gold transactions
- 10 for anyone, correct?
- 11 That's -- I did not tell them that they could not do that.
- 12 Did you or anyone from Treasury, to your knowledge, ever
- 13 tell anyone at Halkbank, specifically Mr. Atilla, to stop
- 14 trading food or medicine?
- 15 Α. No.
- 16 Did anybody from Treasury -- did you or anyone from
- 17 Treasury ever tell Mr. Atilla or Halkbank to stop dealing with
- Reza Zarrab? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Did you or anyone from Treasury, to your knowledge, ever
- 21 tell Mr. Atilla about Al Nafees Exchange?
- 22 I don't -- I think as we talked about last Friday, I don't
- 23 have a particularly crisp recollection of the discussions about
- 24 Al Nafees Exchange. So I would refer back to my testimony
- 25 there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Cohen - Redirect

I just don't remember exactly what we talked about with Al Nafees Exchange, but I can't imagine -- well, I don't know whether Al Nafees Exchange was designated. If it were designated, then I think we probably would told them not to deal with Al Nafees Exchange.

- And if it hadn't been designated, you would not?
- Α. No.
 - MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, no further questions.
- 9 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor. 10
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12
- 13 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 14 Good afternoon, Mr. Cohen. Q.
- Good afternoon. 15 Α.
- Q. So Mr. Rocco ended his cross-examination by asking you some 16
- 17 questions about what you had or had not told Halkbank. Do you
- recall him asking you if you, or anyone from Treasury, had told 18
- Halkbank not to deal with Reza Zarrab? 19
- 20 Yes. Α.
- 21 And whether you or anyone from Treasury had told Halkbank
- 22 not to intermediate gold transactions?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Is the United States Treasury Department a compliance unit
- 25 for Halkbank?

Α. No.

- I'd like to turn to Defense Exhibit 201, which you looked 2
- 3 at yesterday. If we could go to, I believe, page 3 of that
- 4 exhibit. So Mr. Rocco had asked you about a statement in this
- 5 article about the extraterritorial affect of sanctions?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 Now, remind us, what was the context of these statements?
- I think this was an interview that was conducted by a Wall 8
- 9 Street Journal reporter.
- 10 Was Mr. Atilla present at that interview? Ο.
- 11 Α. No.
- So when you're talking about the extraterritorial affect of 12
- 13 U.S. sanctions, in what context is that statement made?
- 14 MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, I'm going to object in light
- of what occurred this morning. 15
- It's redirect. 16 THE COURT:
- 17 MR. ROCCO: I understand. Only in light of your
- Honor's direction. 18
- 19 MR. LOCKARD: It was raised.
- 20 THE COURT: It's redirect.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you ask the question
- 22 again.
- 23 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 24 Q. In what context -- in what sanctions context was the
- 25 question of extraterritoriality raised?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- So my recollection is that this was a -- the question that I was responding to here, or the one just before it, had to do with these significant criminal and civil actions that had been taken by Treasury and by bank regulators and by the Justice Department against foreign banks for violating U.S. sanctions.
- One of the critiques was -- and so one of the critiques was we were exercising extraterritorial jurisdiction. My point was that the banks that were involved in these settlements had transacted through the United States and had effected the United States, and that the actions that we were taking, as a result, were not extraterritorial. I think that is what I was referring to here.
- And is the answer specifically in response to a question regarding blocking transactions?
- Α. Yes.
- And can you describe what blocking is? 16
- So blocking is the technical term that applies to when an entity is sanctioned, is designated. The assets of that person or entity are blocked, which means that they can't be withdrawn, they can't be traded. And so if a person had a bank account in the United States and their assets were blocked, those assets are frozen, no U.S. person can engage in any 23 business involving that person or that person's assets.
 - Can blocking ever take place outside the United States? Ο.
 - Not outside of a U.S. institution.

- 1 Q. So a U.S. institution acting outside the United States can
- 2 | block a transaction?
- 3 A. In certain contexts, yes.
- 4 | Q. Are there other sanctions, penalties and remedies besides
- 5 | blocking?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do any of those penalties and remedies focus on conduct
- 8 | outside the United States?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And do they focus on entities and persons outside the
- 11 United States?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And is sanctioning one of those remedies?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Can a sanction have a significant impact on a foreign
- 16 | entity or individual?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. If we can turn to the next page of Defense Exhibit 201.
- 19 Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you can bring up first the
- 20 second paragraph on that page.
- In this article, did you describe being sanctioned as
- 22 possibly a mortal wound?
- 23 A. Apparently so.
- 24 | Q. Is that something that's true even if the entity is not in
- 25 | the United States and is engaging in transactions that are not

- in the United States? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- If we could look at Defense Exhibit 200. 3 0.
- 4 So this is another -- I suppose this is an article
- 5 that Mr. Rocco asked you about yesterday?
- A. It's an interview. 6
- 7 MR. ROCCO: Friday.
- 8 MR. LOCKARD: On Friday. I'm sorry. It feels like 9 yesterday.
- 10 THE COURT: Not to me.
- 11 MR. LOCKARD: Every day feels like yesterday right
- 12 now.
- 13 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 14 Q. If we could turn to page 7 -- actually, I'm sorry. If we 15 could turn to page 9.
- So is this a discussion about the Treasury 16
- 17 Department's sanctioning authorities, and specifically focusing
- on the paragraph that says: "Now, what we have been doing"? 18
- 19 A. Yeah, just give me one second.
- 20 (Pause)
- Right. This was -- at this point, we were discussing 21
- 22 the serious sanctions.
- Q. And are the serious sanctions a secondary sanction, a 23
- 24 primary sanction, or both?
- 25 I think principally primary sanctions. I actually don't

- recall as I sit here. I don't believe we had secondary
 sanctions in this series context.
- 3 Q. If you look at the clause of the first sentence, where you
- 4 describe certain actions that have been taken under those
- 5 | authorities?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You're talking about close to 50 entities and individuals
- 8 being designated under the new authorities?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you recall whether those entities or individuals were
- 11 | principally U.S. based or principally foreign?
- 12 A. I suspect every one of them was foreign, and those would
- 13 have been primary sanctions designations.
- 14 | Q. And, Mr. Chang-Frieden, if we can look at the
- 15 second-to-last paragraph on this page.
- 16 All right. Here, you have a reference to the Lebanese
- 17 | Canadian Bank action?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Is that something that you're somewhat familiar with?
- 20 | A. I am.
- 21 | Q. And is the Lebanese Canadian Bank a U.S. bank or a foreign
- 22 | bank?
- 23 A. It's a foreign bank.
- 24 | Q. And did Treasury take certain actions targeting Lebanese
- 25 | Canadian Bank?

- We did. Α.
- And what did Treasury do? 2 Q.
- 3 A. I think we exercised a different authority under section
- 311, under the Patriot Act, to essentially cut off Lebanese 4
- 5 Canadian Bank in the U.S.
- Q. And were there other U.S. agencies also involved in actions 6
- 7 against the Lebanese Canadian Bank?
- A. Yes, I believe the Justice Department was also involved in 8
- 9 that.
- 10 Q. And is it your understanding that the Justice Department
- action had the same basis or a different basis than the 11
- 12 Treasury's action?
- 13 A. Different.
- 14 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
- 15 extraterritorial jurisdiction principles that apply to Treasury
- apply to actions by other U.S. agencies? 16
- A. I think there are different principles that apply. 17
- 18 Q. Now, Mr. Rocco also asked about some Congressional
- testimony that you had given. I believe it's Defense Exhibit 19
- 20 229.
- 21 If we could turn to page 41 of that record. Maybe
- 22 numerical page 41.
- 23 And there was a question about whether Turkey was
- 24 paying Iran for natural gas using gold?
- 25 Α. Right.

- Q. So first, as a clarifying question, were there distinctions between the way sanctions applied to natural gas sales versus
- 3 | oil sales?
- 4 A. There were at one point in time.
- 5 | Q. And we had talked about your letter to Halkbank in December
- 6 of 2012, about public statements that had been made by Turkish
- 7 government officials, about buying oil with gold; do you recall
- 8 | that?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Is buying oil with gold a different issue than buying
- 11 | natural gas with gold?
- 12 | A. Yes, it's different. I mean, natural gas is different than
- 13 | oil. As I recall, at one point, mostly because of a drafting
- 14 | error in the legislation, natural gas and oil were treated
- 15 \parallel differently. That got fixed at some point in the legislation.
- 16 I don't recall exactly when natural gas and oil ended up being
- 17 | treated the same, but there was a point in time when they were
- 18 | treated differently.
- 19 | Q. At this time, when you're talking about buying either
- 20 | natural gas or oil from Iran, when we're talking about Turkey
- 21 | buying natural gas or oil from Iran, what is the bank that we
- 22 | would be talking about?
- 23 A. Halkbank.
- 24 | Q. And to what extent would your statements to Congress about
- 25 how Halkbank is paying for natural gas or oil, to what extent

- would that be based on representations from Halkbank? 1
- 2 To some extent. Α.
- 3 Would your statements to Congress in 2013 have been based
- 4 on any of the evidence that has been introduced at this trial?
- 5 MR. ROCCO: Objection.
- I can't possibly answer that question. 6 Α.
- 7 MR. ROCCO: Thank you.
- Do you have any idea what evidence has been introduced at 8 9 this trial?
- 10 Α. I don't.
- 11 If we could turn now to Defense Exhibit 211. So this is a
- 12 summary of a November 2012 phone call with Mr. Aslan, the
- 13 then-general manager of Halkbank; is that right?
- A. Yes. 14
- Now, Mr. Rocco had asked you about a reference in the first 15 Q.
- paragraph to Halkbank's significant efforts to comply with 16
- 17 international sanctions, towards the bottom of that paragraph?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 At that time, what had Halkbank told you about the
- 20 significance of its efforts to comply with international
- 21 sanctions?
- 22 I think, as I testified, at every occasion where I spoke
- 23 with, met with or had communications from Halkbank, I was
- 24 assured that Halkbank was making significant efforts to comply
- 25 with international sanctions and with our sanctions, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

particular.

We had, you know, at these various meetings that I testified about, had relatively lengthy conversations where Halkbank would describe both their commitment to complying with the sanctions and the ways in which they would go about ensuring that they could. And so that was sort of the basis for my belief that Halk was making significant efforts to comply.

- Q. And in your discussions with Halkbank, let's take gold exports specifically, did Mr. Atilla ask you questions relating to guidance about gold exports to Iran?
- That's my recollection, yes.
- 13 Did Mr. Atilla ask you questions for guidance about 0. 14 financing gold exports to Iranian banks?
 - I don't recall the distinction between Iranian banks versus others in the Iranian private sector versus the Iranian government. I don't recall Iranian banks coming up specifically in the gold trade context.
 - Q. And at the time, to you, as the Undersecretary for the Office of Terror Finance and Intelligence, would it have made a difference if the gold exports had been to Iranian banks as opposed to Iranian jewelers?
- 23 A. It would have -- it would have piqued my interest, because 24 if the purchaser -- I think we talked about this on direct. Ιf 25 the purchaser was the bank, rather than the bank being the

- intermediary for the jeweler being the purchaser, but if the 1 purchaser were actually the bank, I would be concerned because 2 3 of what I knew about the Iranian banking sector and how closely 4 it was tied to the government of Iran.
- 5 MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, if I may approach? THE COURT: Yes. 6
 - I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Government's Exhibit 701 and 702.
 - Thank you. Α.
- 10 And these are marked only for identification.

11 (Pause)

- 12 Do you recognize those?
- 13 Α. I do.

7

8

- 14 So let's start with 7031. Okay. Q.
- 15 Α. Okay.
- Now, on Friday Mr. Rocco had asked you some questions about 16
- 17 which sanctions were authorized under which statute?
- 18 A. Yes.
- So I want to start by asking you about the July 2012 19
- 20 executive order that we had talked about during your direct,
- 21 13622. Do you recall what that order did with respect to
- 22 sanctions and gold transactions?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 And at a very basic level, what did that July 2012 order
- 25 do?

- Cohen Redirect
- It made sanctionable any sales of precious metals, which I 1
- think in the definition section, I think probably includes --2
- 3 certainly it includes gold, to the government of Iran or any
- 4 entity working on its behalf.
- 5 Q. And is that order promulgated pursuant to the authority of
- the International Emergency Economic Powers Act? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Now, we talked about how the gold sanctions changed between 8
- 9 this 2012 order and enactment of the Iran Freedom and
- 10 Counter-Proliferation Act, the IFCA?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 And when did the IFCA come about?
- 13 As I recall, it was enacted on January 2nd and became Α.
- 14 effective July 1st, 2013.
- And what is the difference between the gold sanctions in 15 Ο.
- the July 2012 order and the IFCA passed in January of 2013? 16
- 17 That expanded the prohibition on -- the prohibition on the
- 18 sale of gold, and it made sanctionable the sale of gold to
- 19 anybody in Iran, not just the government of Iran, or those
- 20 working on its behalf.
- 21 And why was that sanction broadened?
- 22 A. Well, it was broadened because Congress legislated a
- 23 broadening of the sanction. I think the intent of the
- expansion by Congress was to address what Congress felt was a 24
- 25 loophole, for lack of a better word, in the sanctions that

7

8

9

10

- allowed gold to be sold to Iran purportedly to private 1 2 purchasers but, in fact, on behalf of the government of Iran.
- 3 Is that a loophole in the sense that indirectly supplying
- 4 gold to the government of Iran would not violate the sanctions,
- 5 or a loophole in the sense that it's hard to detect?
 - The latter; it's hard to detect. Α.
 - Are you aware of any factual examples that motivated that concern about the existence of a loophole?
 - MR. ROCCO: Objection, your Honor, relevance.
 - THE COURT: I think you're almost done, right?
- MR. LOCKARD: We're almost done. 11
- 12 MR. ROCCO: Goes beyond my cross-examination.
- 13 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 14 I don't recall any specific factual examples, sitting here
- 15 today, and I just don't remember whether there had been some
- sort of reference at the time. 16
- 17 So just a few more questions about your discussions with
- 18 Mr. Atilla. In those discussions, did Mr. Atilla ever express
- 19 any lack of knowledge about Halkbank's own sanctions compliance
- 20 programs?
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Did Mr. Atilla ever express a lack of knowledge about
- 23 Halkbank's clients?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Did Mr. Atilla ever express a lack of knowledge about Q.

- Halkbank's clients' clients? 1
- A. Not in the sense that it was raised in terms of a 2
- 3 compliance concern on his behalf.
- 4 Q. And Mr. Rocco asked you a few questions about the
- 5 discussion with Mr. Atilla and his new general manager in
- October of 2014? 6
- 7 Yes. Α.
- Q. And Mr. Atilla's questioning about whether Mr. Zarrab was a 8
- 9 specially designated national on the blacklist, for lack of a
- 10 better word?
- 11 Α. Right.
- In your prior discussions with Mr. Atilla, was an entity or 12
- 13 a person, their being on the SDN List, ever the only factor
- 14 relevant to a sanctions compliance?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 MR. LOCKARD: One moment, your Honor.
- 17 (Pause)
- 18 So, your Honor, various summaries and read-outs of the
- meeting that Mr. Cohen has just talked about have been moved 19
- 20 into evidence. We would offer the remaining read-outs,
- 21 Government's Exhibit 7028 and 7029, which are the
- 22 September 2012 and February 2013 tables.
- 23 THE COURT: It's okay with me.
- 24 MR. ROCCO: I'd like to see them, your Honor.
- 25 MR. LOCKARD: They're also marked as 3505-005 and

3505-006.

MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, I'm going to object based on the basis of the discussion this morning. I'd like you to see the exhibits.

THE COURT: Take a look.

MR. ROCCO: No, I have them.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. ROCCO: I thought your Honor would like to see what they are.

THE COURT: Why don't you all come up.

(Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(At the side bar)

Judge, this is it, if I may. MR. ROCCO:

THE COURT: Yes, I saw that on the screen.

MR. ROCCO: These are fulsome discussions of the law, and what I tried to do, perhaps unartfully, was elicit statements that Mr. Cohen made to people at Halkbank only because it went to Mr. Atilla's state of mind. These are legal opinions and invade the province of the Court and go precisely to the objection that the government imposed over the weekend to my line of cross-examination.

MR. LOCKARD: These are summaries of discussions with Mr. Atilla. These are not legal documents. These are recordings of meetings and, in fact, Mr. Rocco has offered and moved into evidence two e-mail summaries written by somebody These are cables that were reviewed and cleared by the else. witness, Mr. Cohen.

> I think I'm going to allow it. THE COURT:

MR. ROCCO: Your Honor, you've been --

THE COURT: He's just trying to tip the balance back, so to speak. So this is what happens, you know.

MR. ROCCO: That's why we should let you charge on the law and not go into these issues, but I think it's very confusing, but...

THE COURT: Are you going to ask him any questions about it, or just move it into the record?

Case 1:15-cr-00867-RMB Document 420 Filed 01/12/18 Page 129 of 163 1370

```
HCBPATI5
                                 Cohen - Redirect
               MR. LOCKARD: That's it.
 1
               MR. ROCCO: Thank you.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: I'll note the objection, though. All
      right.
 4
 5
                (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (In open court)

THE COURT: I'll allow that. 2

(Government's Exhibits 7028 and 7029 received in 3

4 evidence)

5

6

7

8

MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, just very two quick questions to complete the record on these exhibits, and if I may approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

- 9 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 So, Mr. Cohen, we had looked at those on Friday, I believe;
- 13 is that right?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. And do you recognize what those are?
- 16 Α. I do.
- 17 And what are they? Q.
- 18 A. These are State Department cables that are summaries of
- meetings that I had in Turkey on two different trips. 19
- 20 Q. And did you review and approve those summaries before they
- 21 were published?
- 22 Α. I did.
- 23 MR. LOCKARD: No further questions, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. I will allow them, and thank you
- 25 very much, Mr. Cohen. You're excused.

HCBPATI5 Korkmaz - Direct

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll ask for the government's next

witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

(Witness excused)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, before you begin, I'd like to remind you that you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

HUSEYIN KORKMAZ,

called as a witness by the Government,

11 having previously been duly sworn, testified as follows:

- DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
- 13 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 14 Good afternoon, Mr. Korkmaz. Q.
- 15 Α. You too, as well.
- Q. So before the lunch break, we had been talking about your 16
- 17 investigation in 2012 and 2013?
- 18 A. Yes.
- And you'd been describing some of the evidence gathered in 19
- 20 your investigation about bribery payments made to various
- 21 government officials and bank officials?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Now, you had also described something that you referred to
- as "the operation" on December 17th, 2013? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Korkmaz Direct
- Can you describe what you mean by an operation? 1
- 2 An operation is a judicial process through which Α. Yes.
- 3 searches are conducted in homes or business places of suspects,
- and also it pertains to the capture and detention of suspects, 4
- 5 and these suspects may be, in part or in whole, part of the
- investigation. 6
- 7 Q. And approximately how many locations were searched as part
- of the operation? 8
- 9 I can't remember the exact total count of the searches that
- 10 were conducted that day, but if you'll allow me, I can count
- 11 them one by one as to my recollection of all the searches that
- 12 were conducted.
- 13 If you can give us an approximate number, if not an exact
- 14 number?
- 15 Α. I recall that to be approximately 20.
- And were there individuals who were questioned as part of 16
- 17 the operation?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 And did the searches and the individuals questioned, did
- 20 any of those people have family or professional relationships
- 21 with some of the ministers that you had described earlier?
- 22 MR. HARRISON: Objection, relevancy.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 So the operation was on December 17th, 2013?

- Α. That is correct.
- 2 Did there come a time when your duties were reassigned? Q.
- 3 Α. Yes.

- When was that? 4 Q.
- It was in the evening of December 22nd, 2013, that's when I 5
- learned that I was reassigned. 6
- 7 Approximately five days after the operation?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 Were there any other personnel changes in the financial
- 10 crimes unit between December 17th and December 23rd?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 What was the first such personnel change?
- 13 It was the unit chief and the deputy provincial chief of Α. police that was over the unit, and they had been changed. 14
- 15 Q. And when did that happen?
- It was the day after the operation, on December 18th. 16
- 17 THE COURT: What do you mean changed?
- 18 THE WITNESS: The unit chief that had been assigned or 19 worked with the operation, your Honor, was reassigned somewhere
- 20 else, and in his place, someone else had been placed.
- 21 THE COURT: And the same -- what about the deputy 22 chief?
- 23 The deputy provincial police chief was THE WITNESS: 24 also reassigned somewhere else, and somebody else was brought
- 25 in his place.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- BY MR. LOCKARD:
- And in the organizational hierarchy, where is the deputy 2 3 provincial chief position?
- He would be just below the provincial chief of police. 4 Α.
 - Were there any other supervisory reassignments in the financial crimes unit between December 17th and December 23rd?
- 7 Α. Yes.
 - What were those? Q.
 - The two unit chiefs of other units were also reassigned. believe they had learned about their assignments on the evening of the 18th of December, and they said their farewells on the morning of the 19th of December.
 - Q. Did you receive any instructions from the supervisors appointed to replace those individuals?
- 15 Α. Yes.
 - And what instructions did you receive with respect to the investigation?
- MR. HARRISON: Objection, relevance, hearsay. 18
- THE COURT: Overruled. 19
 - First of all, they asked for detailed information about the investigation, and I continually provided this information to them, particularly my unit chief was informing them also. But among the new leaders that had come in, such as the assistant director over the unit, as well as the unit chief and other ranked officer were giving inappropriate and unlawful

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

instructions --

I'm sorry, I'm going to have to THE INTERPRETER: correct what I just said. I'm going to start over.

Within the new personnel that were assigned, particularly the assistant director over the unit, they were giving inappropriate and illegal or unlawful instructions to the unit chief and to another ranked officer, as well as myself.

MR. HARRISON: I'm going to object and move to strike based on relevance, hearsay and legal opinion.

THE COURT: So you're going to explore that, right, counsel?

> Thank you, your Honor. MR. HARRISON:

THE COURT: I mean Mr. Lockard.

MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.

BY MR. LOCKARD:

- Q. Mr. Korkmaz, can you tell us specifically what instructions you received with respect to the investigation?
- Certainly. For example, the interview questions that would be utilized during the interview of Baris Guler and the template of such questions were requested by the deputy provincial police chief, Selami Yildiz. And Selami Yildiz provided instructions to not ask questions to Baris Guler with regard to any allegations of bribery.
- Did you receive any other instructions from the new

- supervisors?
- The same person, Selami Yildiz also instructed that 2
- 3 evidence be removed from the questions regarding the delivery
- that was made by Reza Zarrab to Egemen Bagis on 19 of 4
- 5 April 2013.
- THE COURT: I'm not sure I understood that. 6
- 7 THE INTERPRETER: Again, by the same person Selami
- Yildiz, an instruction was given to remove evidence from the 8
- 9 questioning with regards to the delivery that was made by Reza
- 10 Zarrab to Egemen Bagis on April 19th, 2013.
- BY MR. LOCKARD: 11
- 12 The delivery of money that you had testified about this
- 13 morning?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: What does it mean to remove evidence of
- 16 the questioning?
- 17 THE WITNESS: They were saying that -- the instruction
- 18 included that these questions should not be asked, remove this
- evidence from the questioning and do not ask these questions. 19
- 20 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, did you prepare anything between
- 21 December 17th, the operation date, and December 23rd?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 What did you prepare? 0.
- 24 Α. First, I prepared the report that was a tip being sent to
- 25 the parliament, and second, I had prepared the case summary for

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

Korkmaz - Direct

- the Police Departments, to be given to the prosecutor on December 20th.
- Q. And can you explain what you mean by a tip for the parliament?
 - A. Yes. We did not have authority to investigate the three ministers that had been named within this investigation and, also, about the prime minister, and the prosecutor over the investigation had requested that a report be prepared in terms of a tip to be provided to the parliament with regard to these three ministers and the prime minister.
 - Q. And just to clarify, is that an informal or a formal document?
- 13 A. It's an official document.
 - Q. And you also described a second report that you prepared?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And what was the purpose of preparing that report?
- A. It's a police report that is sent to the prosecutor's office that explains the investigation that led up to the operation.
- MR. LOCKARD: May I approach, your Honor?

 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. I show you what's been marked for identification as
 Government's Exhibit 101. All right. Mr. Korkmaz, do you
 recognize that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Korkmaz - Direct

- 1 Q. And what is it?
- 2 MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Overruled.
- This is the case summary that includes the operational 4
- 5 summary that we had sent to the prosecutor on December 20th,
- 2013. 6
- 7 Q. And did you receive any instructions from your new supervisors concerning that report? 8
- 9 MR. HARRISON: Objection, relevance, hearsay, your 10 Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 And what were those instructions? 0.
- 14 I was instructed to not deliver this report to the Α.
- 15 prosecutor's office, while I was on my way to the prosecutor's
- 16 office to deliver this report, and that is despite the fact
- 17 that this was a signed report with the cover page also signed
- for it to be delivered. 18
- 19 And did you follow that instruction?
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 And what date did that happen? Q.
- 22 It's December 20th, 2013.
- 23 THE COURT: And what does it mean that you did not
- 24 follow the instruction? Did you deliver the report anyway?
- 25 THE WITNESS: That is correct, your Honor.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

delivered it to the prosecutor.

THE COURT: Personally, or to the office, or what?

3 THE WITNESS: I presented it or delivered it in person

over there but, of course, it was his clerk that received it

from me.

- BY MR. LOCKARD:
- Q. And when you were reassigned after December 23rd, what was your next assignment?
 - I was sent to the bridge protection unit directorate.
- And what were your duties and responsibilities at the Ο. bridge protectorate unit?
- A. It was to protect the bridge. I'm not sure how to expand that any further than that. It might include -- so the pedestrians did not go on the bridge. So there are police checkpoints, and if a pedestrian were to approach the bridge to

THE COURT: Excuse me. And what about the report to the parliament, did you do that, too? Did you prepare one?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, I prepared it.

THE COURT: And what did you do with that?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, the morning of

cross it at those checkpoints, they would be stopped.

December 18th, we did send that to the prosecutor's office.

THE COURT: No, I asked about the parliament.

THE WITNESS: That is correct, your Honor. report that was prepared for the parliament was dated the 18th Korkmaz - Direct

- of December, and it was delivered to the prosecutor's office on 1 that date, and later it was delivered to the chief prosecutor's 2 3 office for it to then be delivered to the parliament.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- BY MR. LOCKARD: 5
- So, Mr. Korkmaz, for how long were you assigned to the 6 7 bridge protection unit?
- Six to seven months. 8 Α.
 - And did there come a time when you were again reassigned?
- 10 Α. Yes.

Hakkari.

9

15

17

- 11 And when did that reassignment happen?
- 12 I recall that to be in July of 2014.
- 13 And what was your next assignment? Ο.
- 14 I was assigned to the Cukurca district of the province of
- And, Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could show Mr. Korkmaz 16
- 18 Mr. Korkmaz, does that show where Hakkari is?
- 19 Yes, that's correct. Α.

Government Exhibit 52.

- 20 MR. LOCKARD: The government offers Exhibit 52.
- 21 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 22 MR. HARRISON: No objection, your Honor.
- 23 MR. LOCKARD: I ask that it be published?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to allow it, and you can
- 25 publish it to the jury.

Korkmaz - Direct

- (Government's Exhibit 52 received in evidence) 1
- 2 THE COURT: Is that the circled piece in the lower
- 3 right?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 5 MR. LOCKARD: And, Mr. Chang-Frieden, if you could
- also draw a circle around Istanbul. 6
- 7 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 8 So, Mr. Korkmaz, you were reassigned to Hakkari, I believe
- 9 you said, in July of 2014?
- 10 That is correct. I went to Hakkari as of July 2014. Α.
- 11 And I'd like to direct your attention to early September of
- 2014? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Where were you in early December of 2014?
- 15 Α. I was in prison.
- Did I say December or September? 16
- 17 THE INTERPRETER: You said September first and then
- December next. 18
- So in September of 2014, you were in prison? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 And when were you arrested? Q.
- 22 I was detained on September 1st, 2014, and I was arrested
- 23 on September 4th, 2014.
- 24 And where were you when you were detained?
- 25 I was in Istanbul at that time. Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Korkmaz - Direct

- Q. And if you were assigned to the Hakkari province, why were you in Istanbul on September 1st, 2014?
 - A. I was unable to move my family over to Hakkari, and an operation had been carried out against members of other police units in Istanbul on July 22nd, and we were expecting an operation to be carried out against the former members of the

MR. HARRISON: Objection, move to strike, Judge, based on relevance and hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A. I wanted to spend time with my family, that's why.
- 12 | Q. Did there come a time when you were bailed?

financial crimes units around that time also.

- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And when was that?
- 15 A. Excuse me. So when you mean bail, you mean conditional
- 16 release?
- Q. I mean, did there come a time when you were released from the custody of the prison?
- 19 A. Yes, I was released.
- 20 | O. And when was that?
- 21 A. It was February of 2016. It was February 9th.
- 22 | Q. And what were the conditions of your release?
- 23 A. I was banned from international travel.
- 24 | Q. Were you allowed to keep your passport?
- 25 A. No. It was requested from me; so I had surrendered it.

Korkmaz - Direct

- 1 | Q. Did there come a time when you left Turkey?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. When was that?
- 4 A. It was in the August of 2016.
- 5 | Q. And why did you leave Turkey in August of 2016?
- 6 A. I did not feel legally secure in any way for myself.
- 7 During that time, the prosecutor had requested an order for
- 8 arrest for myself based on a different investigation. I
- 9 understood this time to be a time where rights to defend one's
- 10 own and freedoms of an individual and freedoms as a human were
- 11 | taken away. So I took my wife and my daughter, and I left the
- 12 country that I dearly love.
- 13 | Q. Now, Mr. Korkmaz, before your arrest in September of 2014,
- 14 | had you expected to be arrested?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. At that time, did you have your passport?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. At that time, did you leave the country?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MR. LOCKARD: Your Honor, I don't know if you intend
- 21 | to take an afternoon break, but this might be a good place to
- 22 do it.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Take five minutes.
- 24 | (Jury not present)
- 25 | THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a five-minute

1 break.

4

5

6

7

8

9

2 (Recess)

3 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated, everybody.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, again, I'd like to remind you that you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. LOCKARD: Should I continue, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

10 BY MR. LOCKARD:

- 11 | Q. So, Mr. Korkmaz, you were describing your decision in
- 12 | August of 2016 to leave Turkey?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What, if anything, did you bring with you when you left
- 15 | Turkey?
- 16 A. I brought the evidence that I could obtain with regards to
- 17 | the December 17th investigation, and I brought those.
- 18 | Q. And how were you able to obtain that information, that
- 19 | evidence?
- 20 A. Some of them from the prosecutor of the investigation and
- 21 | the other part from an expert employee who was working in the
- 22 | investigation.
- 23 | Q. Could you describe generally what it is, what types of
- 24 | evidence it is that you obtained from the prosecutor who had
- 25 been assigned to the investigation?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Korkmaz - Direct

MR. HARRISON: Objection, your Honor, relevance, hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Audio that constituted criminal elements and the scanned version of the investigative file that was available at the courthouse, photographs of the evidence that were seized during the operation, digital and scanned versions of some of the expert's reports, and some digital versions of evidence such as statements and such.
- Q. Were you able to make a copy of the entire investigative file?
- A. No. I was able to get only what had been scanned as of that time, and I had thought that I had picked up all the audio, but turns out I was able to get only the first CD.
- Q. And did the scanned investigative file also include transcripts of the audio intercepts?
- A. Yes. Yes, but I noticed that some of the suspects' transcripts had not been scanned at that time and, also, there was one more suspect in the investigation whose transcripts had not been scanned that I realized later.
- So if there are portions of the investigative file that had not been scanned, you were not able to get a copy of that portion of the file?
- Α. That is correct, exactly.
 - And you were able to obtain a copy of one CD of audio

- intercepts; is that right? 1
- That is correct. 2 Α.
- 3 And how many other disks were there?
- There were two CDs. I thought that I picked up both of 4 Α.
- 5 them. I understand that I had not picked up the second one.
- 6 Q. You also described obtaining some evidence from an
- 7 individual who worked at the digital evidence lab; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And when did you obtain that digital evidence?
- 11 After I was released from jail.
- 12 And were you able to compare that digital evidence to the
- 13 other investigative records that you had obtained from the
- 14 prosecutor?
- 15 MR. HARRISON: Objection, Judge, lack of foundation,
- 403. 16
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 Yes. Α.
- And what did that comparison show? 19
- 20 I understood that they matched. Α.
- 21 And generally speaking, what was contained in the digital Q.
- 22 evidence that you obtained from the digital evidence lab
- 23 person?
- 24 These were the reports that forensic experts had prepared
- 25 on the digital evidence, and also what they prepared as exports

- after these reports. 1
- 2 And what do you mean by exports? Q.
- 3 A. Export is a process through which the computer files that
- are obtained through the image are sorted by their file 4
- 5 extensions.
- 6 Q. Mr. Korkmaz, why did you obtain copies of the investigative
- 7 file and other evidence from the prosecutor?
- A. Both the prosecutor and I believed that the evidence would 8
- 9 never be brought up in court, and that they would be damaged or
- 10 destroyed; so I took initiative in order to preserve the
- 11 evidence.
- 12 MR. HARRISON: Judge, I'm just going to object and
- 13 move to strike based on relevance, hearsay and opinion
- 14 testimony.
- 15 THE COURT: You got it. And foundation, probably,
- 16 right?
- 17 MR. HARRISON: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
- BY MR. LOCKARD: 19
- 20 Q. So, Mr. Korkmaz, when you left Turkey without a passport,
- 21 can you describe for us generally how you were able to
- 22 accomplish that?
- 23 THE COURT: Counsel, we do have a prior discussion of
- 24 this, right? And ruling on this issue?
- 25 MR. LOCKARD: We do, and so --

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 BY MR. LOCKARD:
- 3 Q. So generally, Mr. Korkmaz, could you describe for us the
- 4 method by which you were able to leave the country?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. And what is that method?
- 7 A. I found a smuggler, and I asked him to smuggle me out, and
- 8 | I fled through a border crossing.
- 9 Q. Now, did there come a time when you were able to obtain
- 10 another passport?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And how was it that you were able to obtain another
- 13 | passport?
- 14 A. It was not possible in the first country that I had
- 15 | entered, and then I went on to another country, and then I went
- on to another country. And the reason why I actually went to
- 17 | that third country was because there was a loophole, legally,
- 18 | that would give me this opportunity.
- 19 | Q. And so did there come a time when you actually did obtain a
- 20 passport in that country?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Was that passport in your true name?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. So if you obtained a passport in a name other than your
- 25 actual name, what do you mean by legally obtaining a passport?

- Not necessarily legal, but through a legal or through a 1 loophole in the legal system. 2
- 3 Was it actually issued by the government authority?
- Α. Yes. 4
- 5 Now, did there come a time when you began communicating with United States law enforcement? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 And what did you come to understand from those
- 9 communications?
- 10 I understood that I cannot come to the United States 11 without a passport.
- 12 Now, what, if any, concerns did you have about not having a 13 passport, other than ability to travel to the United States?
- 14 MR. HARRISON: Objection.
 - I was afraid of extradition. Turkey had -- the Turkish government had good relations with these countries, and the extradition was a possibility. At higher levels, it could have happened.
 - Q. Now, you testified earlier about the concerns that you had that had motivated you to leave Turkey in August of 2016?
- 21 Α. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

- 22 Concerns for your, I think, freedom and safety?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- How, if at all, did the steps that you took after leaving 24
- 25 Turkey, including bringing the evidence with you, how would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Korkmaz - Direct

that affect the risks that you thought you would face if you were returned to Turkey?

MR. HARRISON: Objection, relevance and 403, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE INTERPRETER: Could you please repeat that question.

- Q. How, if at all, did the risks that you perceived from returning to Turkey change as a result of the additional steps you took by leaving Turkey and by bringing the evidence with you?
- A. During that time, in the public arena, in the press, there were news published about individuals in custody who were being tortured. I was aware of the bad treatments that I would be subject to, and I could call that torture, actually. risks that I would face if I were to be extradited, even through official channels, if I were to be extradited, I knew what I would face. But what made me really uneasy in these countries was anything that could be done against me through unofficial means, and for that reason, I was not going out of the house much in these countries.
- 22 Q. Did there come a time when you traveled to the United 23 States?
- 24 Α. Yes.
 - Was that with the assistance of U.S. law enforcement?

- Α. Yes.

- And what, if anything, did you bring with you? 2 Q.
- 3 I brought the evidence that I had mentioned. Α.
- 4 And what did you do with it when you arrived? Q.
- 5 I delivered them at the airport.
- All right. So let's talk a little bit about what that 6
- 7 evidence is. Can you remind us again what some of the
- 8 investigative techniques were that were employed in your
- 9 investigation?
- 10 Yes, identification of communications and surveillance of
- 11 such communications, surveillance through technical tools,
- 12 physical surveillance, security camera footage, and analysis of
- 13 mails and obtaining of documents from entities, and also, the
- 14 evidence and documents and additional evidence that were
- collected during the operation. 15
- So let's start with the evidence that was obtained through 16
- 17 the searches.
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And I think we've touched on that just a little bit
- 20 already. When did the searches take place?
- 21 On December 17th, 2013. Α.
- 22 And who was principally responsible for organizing the
- 23 searches?
- 24 Α. As the team lead, it was me.
- 25 And who was principally responsible for choosing the search

teams?

1

- As an organizer, I was the one responsible in listing them. Α.
- 3 And how many, approximately, search teams were there? 0.
- I recall that we had sent at least two and -- between two 4 Α.
- 5 and five teams to each of the addresses involved.
- And who chose the team leaders of each of those teams? 6 0.
- 7 I had selected them, but I made the selection based on the
- lists that were brought to me from my unit and from other 8
- 9 units.
- 10 So let's talk about a typical search.
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 In fact, before we talk about these searches, approximately
- 13 how many operations have you participated in as a Turkish -- as
- 14 an officer with the financial crimes unit?
- 15 A. Are you referring to the ones that I have conducted, or
- ones that I may have joined doing their searches for 16
- 17 investigations that were conducted by other units?
- 18 Q. Let's do both. How many operations did you personally plan
- 19 and organize?
- 20 A. Prior to December 17th, during a three to three-and-a-half
- year period, I led ten to 15 such operations, simultaneous 21
- 22 operations, that I took part in as a team lead.
- 23 And as far as other operations that were conducted by
- 24 our unit or other units, the number of that is very high, and I
- 25 cannot remember exactly how many.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Approximately?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I would guess it would be between 25 and 50.

- And so what information or instructions would you give to Ο. the search team leaders prior to the operation being carried out?
- A. First of all, I selected individuals that would be leading the teams going to important addresses, and I had selected them to be from our unit. I told them about the identification of any evidence that may be found at any of the addresses. I told them to have at least two witnesses at each address during any search. And I also went over the search warrant, and I explained to them the things that were mentioned in there as to the items that should be seized, and I gave them information about what these particulars were about every address.
- And where would you be during the operation itself, when the teams went out to conduct the searches?
- I'd be at the unit. Α.
 - And what would be your duties and responsibilities throughout the day as the operation was being carried out?
- Since I'm the coordinator, all the information about the operation would flow to me, and I would inform both the prosecutor, as well as my own supervisor about the information that was coming in.
 - And how would you learn that information throughout the

day?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Sometimes they'd call on the phone, the teams would, and sometimes they would write through WhatsApp, and sometimes they would call through KakaoTalk.
- Q. And can you just explain what that is, for the benefit of those of us who don't use that app?
 - You mean the KakaoTalk one?
- 8 Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. KakaoTalk is another communications application just like WhatsApp is, and it's available through Google Play. What I recall is WhatsApp did not allow voice calls at that time, and for this application what I recall is it had good audio transmission. It was a fast application, and it worked.
- 14 Q. And what procedure was there for teams to collect and 15 maintain evidence?
 - A. So, first of all, we make sure that there are at least two witnesses during any search, as a search begins, and all the evidence identified during a search would then be listed in a meaningful way on the search report. And then these witnesses would sign under this report, and they would put their signatures on it and show that they were there.
 - Q. And what is the -- at the end of the search, where is the evidence taken?
 - They would be taken to the room where the team is located that is conducting the operation. In this case, they were

- brought to the room where our team is, in our office. 1
- And what is the procedure for receiving evidence when it's 2 Ο. 3 brought back to the team room?
- 4 I would task an officer, as I would do with any operation,
- 5 in this operation too, I would task an officer. These would be
- 6 the officers to greet the incoming teams, and the teams
- 7 returning from the searches would come in with their evidence
- bags and their reports, and this officer would greet them, 8
- 9 would compare each and every piece of evidence with the reports
- 10 that it's listed on, and another officer would receive the
- 11 suspects brought in.
- 12 And did that process happen on the December 17th operation?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 And where were you when the search teams came back to the
- 15 office with their evidence?
- I was at the unit. I was sometimes in that room, and 16
- 17 sometimes I was with my supervisors in other rooms, and then
- 18 there was also another office where I could use to type up the
- 19 case summary report. So in order to do that, I was in that
- 20 room sometimes.
- 21 (Continued on next page)

22

23

24

- Q. How, if at all, would evidence from each search location be kept separate from evidence from other search locations?
 - A. Every suspect, whether it's the suspect's home or business place, would have an evidence bag, and on this bag there would be a label placed. And some teams may be more sensitive on
- 6 this and may place a label on every single piece of evidence as well.
 - Q. When, if at all, would you begin to review the evidence brought back from the searches?
 - A. Primarily I would inform the prosecutor, and after that, that could happen on the first day, but it could also happen gradually, because it is not possible to review all of them at once. So the review that takes place during the operation is the one where it's just a quick browse of the evidence so that anything that should be included in the case summary report that is being prepared, they could be included in that report.
 - Q. Did you in fact include some of the evidence brought back on December 17 as part of your report?
 - A. Yes, I included some, those pieces of evidence that I found to be important, or of or immediate use, I took pictures of such evidence, and I included in my report.
 - I apologize. I apologize. I'd like to make a correction. I'm under oath here. I want to be precise in what I say.
 - I was not the one taking the pictures of the pieces of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Korkmaz - Direct

- evidence. I had tasked an officer to do so. He would take the pictures, he would give them to me, and I would include those pictures in the report.
- Where was your office located physically compared to the Ο. room where the evidence was held?
- So, my current office at that time was actually in the same room. And then as for the room that I was using to type up the case summary report, that was at a room that I rarely used during the operation. And I don't know how to give you a measure on that, but it was on the same aisle, same corridor, and it was maybe a little more of a distance of the distance between that corner and this corner.

MR. LOCKARD: I don't know how to estimate that, your Honor, but I would guess maybe 20 yards. 10 yards.

THE COURT: That is probably a fair estimate. I think we get the picture though.

So, I think we're going to stop for today. I take it you have some more questions to ask of Mr. Korkmaz?

MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I just have one question that I wanted to ask. Perhaps we asked it before. How old are you?

THE WITNESS: 30, your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll pick up tomorrow. The witness is excused for today.

(Witness not present)

THE COURT: Remember, please don't talk to each other about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict.

Second, do not talk with anyone else about this case or anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended, and you have been discharged as jurors.

Third, do not let anyone talk to you about the case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it. And if someone should try and talk to you about the case, please report that to Christine or me immediately.

Fourth, do not read any news or internet stories or articles or blogs, etc., or listen to any radio or TV or cable TV or internet reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with the case.

And fifth, do not do any type of research or any type of investigation about the case on your own.

So, another productive day and I'll see you at 9:15 tomorrow morning. Thanks a lot.

(Jury excused)

THE COURT: Mr. Lockard, remind me again what is left in terms of the government's case, number of witnesses, etc.

MR. LOCKARD: I think we have about five witnesses left. That does not include potential custodial witnesses which we are still hoping to avoid calling, but may not be able

to avoid calling.

And I think the batting order is, as we had discussed, Mr. Szubin who had scheduling issues last week will start tomorrow morning. We are also working to solve another scheduling problem, and we may be calling a second witness out of turn after Mr. Szubin who would be a compliance expert from Deutsche Bank. At that point we would then resume with Mr. Korkmaz and we would expect to finish his direct continuously from there. And then after that, I think we would have, again, not counting potential custodial witnesses, about two additional witnesses and then a summary witness.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Szubin you estimate to be what kind of length to his testimony?

MR. LOCKARD: We expect his direct to be somewhere around an hour and a half and two hours.

THE COURT: And the other witnesses would be longer or shorter?

MR. LOCKARD: I think the rest would be in that range or maybe a little shorter.

THE COURT: How much do you think you have left with Mr. Korkmaz?

MR. LOCKARD: I think we're likely to finish direct with Mr. Korkmaz on Wednesday.

THE COURT: Oh really? You'd have you think all of tomorrow? Well, no, I guess we have the other witnesses.

MR. LOCKARD: Because tomorrow is less than a half day for him probably.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLEMING: I'd like to raise an issue with regard to the summary witness which is somebody named Hinton. We were advised of him I believe for the first time last week. Maybe Friday. I don't remember the days, I don't want to get pinned down on it. And we received the 3500 material and the government's exhibits, which are rather thick, and we're putting together -- we're compiling for the Court the number of pages, because they're considerable. And that's on top of the thousands of Turkish pages we have gotten, both in response to a subpoena we served, which is our issue, but also that we received from the government.

We are going to object to the summary witness on many grounds, but among others, it really is, this is just trial by ambush yet again. And even in terms of notice, one of the first things that jumped out is he's been working two years — two years — and on one of the first pages of this, and we get this like last Thursday, or Friday, or Saturday. It's really pretty unbelievable, Judge.

THE COURT: So just remind me, what is the function of a summary witness?

MR. LOCKARD: So, as your Honor knows, this was a heavily document-intensive investigation with hundreds of

thousands of e-mails and an even larger number of bank records as part of the investigation.

So the summary witness is our effort to condense and streamline all of that into a short summary presentation as opposed to a whole stack of documents.

THE COURT: How does that contrast to your summation? I thought that's what you do.

MS. FLEMING: Better organized.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. LOCKARD: The difference is that I think it's somebody who can, as a fact witness, summarize things and compile things in a way that I think might just sound like argument coming from closing.

THE COURT: We'll continue the discussion of the summary issue witness issue.

MS. FLEMING: Perhaps you'd like to look at this overnight?

THE COURT: Thanks. I have to read one of the transcripts overnight, so I'll pass.

We'll see you tomorrow at 9:15.

MS. FLEMING: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned until December 12, 2017, at 9:15 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	JOSHUA KIRSCHENBAUM
4	Direct By Mr. Denton
5	Cross By Mr. Rocco
6	HUSEYIN KORKMAZ
7	Direct By Mr. Lockard
8	DAVID COHEN
9	Cross (Resumed) By Mr. Rocco
10	Redirect By Mr. Lockard
11	HUSEYIN KORKMAZ
12	Direct (Resumed) By Mr. Lockard
13	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
14	Exhibit No. Received
	Exhibit No. Received 7021
14	
14 15	7021
14 15 16	7021
14 15 16 17	7021
14 15 16 17 18	7021
14 15 16 17 18	7021
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	7021
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	7021
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	7021
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	7021

1	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
2	Exhibit No. Received
3	212
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	